RÕAVS

RESEARCH OPINIONS IN ANIMAL & VETERINARY SCIENCES

ISSN 2221-1896

www.roavs.com

Some placental factors and their relevance to variation in birth weight of Karadi lambs

R. A. S. Oramari¹, J. E. Alkass¹, H. N. Hermiz² and Y. Y. Hussein¹

¹Dept. of Animal production, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok, Kurdistan region, Iraq ²Dept. of Animal Resources, College of Agriculture, University of Salahaddin, Kurdistan region, Iraq

Abstract

At the animal farm, college of Agriculture, University of Duhok, foetal placenta of 77 karadi ewes shed from normal parturitions were collected within 24 hours. The foetal cotyledons were separated from the placenta, counted and weighed. Also, the weights of lambs were recorded within 24 hours post lambing. The overall mean number and weight of cotyledons were 64.39±1.51 and 123.08±4.23 gm, respectively. Ewes with male lambs and single birth had significantly heavier cotyledons than females (130.51 vs. 116.41 gm) and twin birth (134.48 vs. 112.44 gm). A highly significant positive correlation between birth weight and each of number (0.34) and weight of cotyledons (0.64) was observed. Also, the regression of birth weight of lambs on cotyledons weight was significant. A significant positive correlation between ewe body weight at lambing and cotyledon weight (0.41) was noticed. It can be concluded that weight of cotyledons had the major role in influencing the birth weight of lambs.

Key words: Cotyledons, Birth Weight, Karadi Lambs

Introduction

The survival and growth of young lambs depends largely on their birth weights and the milking ability of their dams (Eliya and Juma, 1970). Moreover, the development of placenta is associated with vivi parity. The placenta functions as a multi-organ performing many functions and substituting for the fetal gastrointestinal tract, lung, kidney, liver and endocrine glands. In addition, the placenta separates the maternal and fetal organism, thus ensuring the separate development of the fetus (Hafez and Hafez, 2000).

In sheep and goat, a positive correlation was found between birth weight and the weight of cotyledons (Alexander, 1964; Alkass et al., 1999). Moreover, the total weight of cotyledons per fetus decreased by about 12% for each increase of one in number of fetuses as compared with a 20% decrease in number of cotyledons but only a 7-11% decrease in the weight of individual fetuses (Rhind et al., 1980). Also, it has been indicated that the number of cotyledons per foetus varies between and within breed, litter size, sex and environmental conditions (Alexander, 1964). Therefore, the aim of the present work was to evaluate some placental factors as affected by some maternal traits and to investigate the effect of weight and number of cotyledons in new born birth weight of Karadi lambs.

Materials and Methods

Seventy seven foetal placenta delivered at normal parturition were collected from Karadi ewes raised at Animal Farm, College of Agriculture, University of Duhok during lambing season 2007-2008. The foetal cotyledons were separated from the placenta, counted and weighed. When two cotyledons were fused they were counted as one. The weights of lambs were recorded within 24 hours post lambing. The data were statistically analyzed by using SAS (2005) according to the following model:

$$Y_{iiklm} = \mu + A_i + S_i + T_k + b_{(xl-x)} + e_{iiklm}$$

Where:

Y_{iiklm}= Measurements on mth observation;

 $\mu = Overall mean;$

 A_i = Effect of ith Age group of dam (i = 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, 5.5):

 $S_i = \text{Effect of } j^{\text{th}} \text{ Sex } (j = \text{Male, Female});$

 T_k = Effect of k^{th} Type of birth (k = Single, Twin);

 $b_{(xl-x)}$ = the regression of lambs birth weight on cotyledons weight.

 e_{ijklm} =Experimental error assumed to be NID with (0, σ^2 e).

Results and Discussion

In the current work, the overall mean number and weight of cotyledons for Karadi ewes was 64.39 ± 1.51 and 123.08 ± 4.23 gm, respectively (Table 1). The mean number of cotyledons is close to those reported earlier by Alexander (1964) for Merino sheep (73), but it is higher than those reported for Iraqi native Awassi (Al-Rawi et al., 2002) (53.2) and Awassi ewes in Jordon (51.1) (Jawasreh et al., 2009).

The total number of cotyledons for males and females were 66.07±2.40 and 67.55±2.37, respectively, and the corresponding total weight of cotyledons were 130.51±5.60 and 116.41±5.55 gm (Table 1); the difference between sexes was significant (P<0.05) only for weight of cotyledons (Table 2). Similarly, Rhind et al. (1980) and Al-Rawi et al. (2002) noticed that the mean cotyledon number was almost the same for males and females. However, the mean of cotyledon weight were higher in case of males, as were the corresponding birth weight of lambs. The explanation of the sex differences as suggested by Alexander (1964) could be due to differences in the vascularity of the placenta or in the placental transfer mechanism. Also, Rhind et al. (1980) noticed that the cotyledons were 10.5% heavier for males than for their litter mates. Similar observations have been made by Alkass et al. (1999), Dwyer et al. (2005) and Jawasreh et al. (2009).

Although single born lambs had more cotyledons number (69.60±2.27) than twin born lambs (64.41±2.70), however, the differences between them

were not significant (Table 2). Similarly, in ewes having 4-5 ovulations, Rhind et al. (1980) indicated that the number of cotyledons/foetus was 49, 27 and 18 for 1, 3 and 5 viable foetuses in each uterus, respectively. Also, Alkass et al. (1999) and Al-Rawi et al. (2002) noticed similar finding in Iraq native goats and in different genetic groups of ewes, respectively.

The weight of cotyledons of single born lambs was significantly (P<0.01) heavier (134.48±5.32 gm) than twin born lambs (112.44±6.32 gm) (Table 1). Associated with the fall in cotyledons attached to each foetus, was a compensatory rise in the weight of individual cotyledons. In this study, the overall effect was a decline of 16.4% in total cotyledon weight for twining born lambs. The corresponding decrease in lamb birth weight was 22%. Similar conclusion was drawn earlier by Rhind et al. (1980), Dwyer et al. (2005), Konyali et al. (2007) and Ocak et al. (2009).

In the present work, Although 3.5 year old ewes attained the highest number (68.23±3.73) and weight of cotyledons (139.73±8.71) (Table 1). However, the effect of age of ewe on both traits was not significant (Table 2). Such result is in contrast to those observed by Al-Rawi et al. (2002), Dwyer et al. (2005), Konyali et al. (2007), Jawasreh et al. (2009) and Ocak et al. (2009), who shows that the number of cotyledons tended to increase gradually as the age increased. This difference between studies could be attributed to the number of observation involved and particularly in our study the number of ewes aged 2.5 years is very limited.

Table 1: Least square means \pm SE for the effects on birth weight, number and weight of cotyledons in Karadi sheep

sneep						
Factors	Birth weight (kg)		Cotyledons number		Cotyledons weight (gm)	
Factors	No.	Means ± S.E.	No.	Means ± S.E.	No.	Means ± S.E.
Overall mean	77	4.81 ± 0.15	77	64.39±1.51	77	123.08±4.23
Age of dam (years)						
2.5	5	4.98 ± 0.36^{a}	5	74.25 ± 5.76^{a}	5	117.56 ± 13.46^{b}
3.5	14	5.07 ± 0.23^{a}	14	68.23 ± 3.73^{ab}	14	139.73 ± 8.71^{a}
4.5	34	4.65 ± 0.13^{a}	34	61.69 ± 2.17^{b}	34	117.35 ± 5.06^{b}
5.5	24	4.71 ± 0.16^{a}	24	63.87 ± 2.64^{ab}	24	119.20 ± 6.18^{b}
Sex of lamb						
Male	42	5.08 ± 0.15^{a}	42	66.07 ± 2.40^{a}	42	130.51 ± 5.60^{a}
Female	35	4.62 ± 0.15^{b}	35	67.95 ± 2.37^{a}	35	116.41 ± 5.55^{b}
Type of birth						
Single	40	5.45 ± 0.15^{a}	40	69.60 ± 2.27^{a}	40	134.48 ± 5.32^{a}
Twin	37	4.25 ± 0.17^{b}	37	64.41 ± 2.70^{a}	37	112.44 ± 6.32^{b}
Regression on						
Dam weight at lambing	77	0.044 ± 0.012	77	0.280 ± 0.18	77	1.809 ± 0.42
Cotyledons number	77	0.007 ± 0.003	-	-	-	-
Cotyledons weight	77	0.005 ± 0.007	-	-	-	-
ah - 1 1100 1		10 11	1:00 :			

^{ab}Means having different letters within each factor/column differ significantly (P<0.05) according to Scheffe's test

Table 2: Mean squares and test of significance	e for factors	affecting birth	weight, number	and weight of
cotyledons in Karadi sheen				

Factors	•	Birth weight		Cotyledons number		Cotyledons weight	
	d.f.	Mean squares	d.f.	Mean squares	d.f.	Mean squares	
Age of dam(years)	3	0.518 ^{N S}	3	277.507 ^{N S}	3	1455.493 ^{N S}	
Sex of lamb	1	3.53*	1	64.233 ^{N S}	1	3605.356*	
Type of birth	1	18.570**	1	389.953 ^{N S}	1	7041.405**	
Regression on							
Dam weight at lambing	1	7.514**	1	383.316 ^{N S}	1	16014.476**	
Cotyledons weight	1	2.893*	-	-	-	-	
Cotyledons number	1	0.293^{NS}	-	-	-	-	
Residual	68	0.586	70	157.349	70	860.237	

Table 3: Simple correlation between ewe weights (EWT), birth weight (BWT), weight (CWT) and number (CNO) of cotyledons in Karadi lambs.

	BWT	CWT	CNO
EWT	0.39**	0.41**	0.16
BWT		0.64**	0.34**
CWT			0.64**
CNO			

Simple correlation coefficient results reveal a highly significant positive correlation between birth weight and each of number (0.34) and weight of cotyledons (0.64) (Table 3). Also, the regression of birth weight of lambs on cotyledons weight was significant but not with cotyledon numbers (Table 3). Both cotyledon number and weight was correlated significantly and positively with the litter weight. This result agreed with the finding of Konyali et al. (2007) and Jawasreh et al. (2009), who indicates a similar relationship between the same studied traits. A significant and positive correlation between ewe body weight at lambing and cotyledon weight (0.41) was noticed, while the correlation of ewe weight at lambing with cotyledon number was positive but not significant (0.16).

The overall mean of birth weight of Karadi lambs is 4.81±0.15 (Table 1). Males born heavier than females (P<0.05) (Table 2). The sex differences in growth and development are the result of the operation of sex hormone (Owen, 1976), higher secretion of growth hormone (Davis et al., 1977), and according to our results the weight of cotyledons is higher for males compared to females. Also, singles born lambs are significantly (P<0.01) heavier than twin born lambs (Table 1). Such effect could be attributed to growth competition between twins for a fixed amount of nutritive materials from their dams during pre-natal period. Alkass et al., (1996), Mohammed (2008) and Oramari (2009) previously observed the superiority of males and singles in their birth weight over females and twins, respectively. Age of dam was found to have a

non significant effect on birth weight of Karadi lambs. This result could be attributed to the limited numbers of ewes aged 2.5 years. Similarly, Oramari (2009) noticed a similar finding. The regression coefficient of lamb's birth weight on their dam weight at lambing was 0.044±0.012 kg/kg (P<0.01). Also, many authors claimed that the weight of dam at lambing was significantly correlated with birth weight of their lambs (Mohammed, 2008 and Oramari, 2009). Such results are related to an increase in uterine size associated with heavier weight and more nutrients are supplied to her embryos (Bhadula and Bhat, 1980). It can be concluded that weight of cotyledons had the major role in influencing the birth weight of lambs.

References

Alexander, G. 1964. Studies on the placenta of sheep (Ovis aries L.) placental size. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility, 7:289-305.

Alkass, J.E., Al-Azzawi, W.A.R., Asofi, M.K. and Elia, J.V. 1999. Number and weight of cotyledons and their relevance to variation in birth weight of kids. *The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science*, 30: 641-646.

Alkass, J.E., Hermiz, H.N. and Badawi, F.S. 1996. Preweaning performance of lambs resulting from crossing of Finnish Landrace with local breeds of sheep in Iraq. *IPA Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(1): 109-117.

Al-Rawi, A.A., Alkass, J.E., Al-Azzawi, W.A., Shujaa, T.A. and Al-Nasrawi, A.H.H. 2002. Relation between placental cotyledons in different genetic groups of sheep and some productive traits. *The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Science*, 33: 153-158.

Bhadula, S.K and Bhat, P.N. 1980. Genetic and non-Genetic factors affecting body weight in Muzaffarnagri sheep and their half-breeds. *Indian Journal of Animal Science*, 50(10): 852-856.

Davis, S.L., Ohlson, D.L., Klindt, J. and Anfinson, M.S. 1977. Episodic growth hormone secretory pattern in sheep, Relationship to gonadal steroid

- hormone. American Journal of Physiology, 233: 519-523.
- Dwyer, C.M., Calver, S.K., Frish, M., Donbavand, J. and Pickup, H.E. 2005. Breed litter and parity effect on placental weight number, and consequences for the neonatal behavior of the lambs. *Theriogenology*, 63(4): 1092-1101.
- Eliya, J. and Juma, K.H. 1970. Birth weight, weaning weight and milk production in Awassi sheep. *Tropical Agriculture Trin*, 47:321-324.
- Hafez, E.S.E. and Hafez, B. 2000. Reproduction In Farm Animals. 7th (ed.). Kiawah Island, South Carolina USA.
- Jawasreh, K.I.Z., Awawdeh, F.T., Khasawneh, A.Z., Shdaifat, B., Al-Shboul, H. and Al-Hamed, B. 2009. The effect of some placental factors in birth weight of Awassi lambs. Research Journal of Agriculture & Veterinary Sciences, 4: 5-8.
- Konyali, A., Tolu, G. Das, C. and Sava, T. 2007. Factors affecting placental traits and relationships of placental traits with neonatal behavior in goat. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 97(3-4): 394-401.

- Mohammed, L.T. 2008. Computing adjustment factors for growth traits in Karadi sheep. M.Sc. Thesis, College of Agriculture, University of Dohuk, Iraq.
- Ocak, S., Emsen, F., Koycegiz, M., Kutluca, M. and Onder, H. 2009. Comparison of placental traits and their relation to litter size and parity in sheep. *Journal of Animal Science*, 10: 1910-1913.
- Oramari, R.A.S. 2009. Genetic evaluation of Karadi sheep using some productive traits. Ph.D. Dissertation, College of Agriculture, University of Dohuk, Iraq.
- Owen, J.B. 1976. Sheep Production. Bailliere Tindall, London.
- Rhind, S.M., Robinson, J.J. and McDonald, I. 1980. Relationships among uterine and placenta factors in prolific ewes and their relevance to variations in foetal weight. *Animal Produciton*, 30: 115-124.
- SAS, 2005. SAS/STAT 'User's Guide for Personal Computers, Release 8.00. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.