

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and sustainable agriculture

Mohammad Yazdani and Hossein Bagheri

Department of Agronomy, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran

Abstract

Sustainable agriculture is a way of practicing agriculture which seeks to optimize skills and technology to achieve long term stability of the agricultural enterprise, environmental protection and consumer safety. It is achieved through management strategies which help the producer select hybrids and varieties, soil conserving cultural practices, soil fertility programs, and pest management programs. Over application of chemical fertilizers is used to replenish soil, resulting in severe environmental contamination, thus recently use of biofertilizers in combination to chemical fertilizers was suggested. Many researchers, however, reported that environmental protection and the need to enhance sustainable agricultural outputs caused introduction of new sustainable technologies. Studies have conclusively shown that biofertilizers as PGPR have ability to stimulate plant growth. Many of the bacteria that increase plant growth were shown to possess the ability to solubilize phosphate, increase the efficiency of biological nitrogen fixation, improve the availability of Fe and Zn, and alter the growth of roots or shoots by production of plant hormones. PGPR offers an environmentally sustainable approach to increase crop production and health. The application of molecular tools is enhancing our ability to understand and manage the rhizosphere and will lead to new products with improved effectiveness. This review will focus upon our current knowledge of the role that PGPR plays on crop growth in sustainable agriculture.

Keywords: PGPR; sustainable agriculture; biofertilizers; rhizosphere

To cite this article: Yazdani M and H Bagheri, 2011. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) and Sustainable Agriculture. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 1(S), S115-S121.

Introduction

Conventional agriculture which involves high-yielding plants, mechanized tillage, inorganic fertilizers and biocides is so detrimental to the environment. For instance, fertilizer run-off from conventional agriculture is the chief culprit in creating dead zones with low oxygen areas where marine life cannot survive. The challenge of enhancing productivity while maintaining environmental soundness calls for educating farmers; emphasizing the long-term consequences of their traditional methods of agriculture; and helping them develop and implement innovative, appropriate farming practices. Studies have conclusively shown that biofertilizer as PSM solubilizes the fixed soil P and applied phosphates (Wu et al., 2005). The nitrogen fixing bacteria such as *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* produce phytohormones that are able to stimulate plant

growth and causes morphological changes, such as an increase in root surface area through the production of more root hairs, which in turn enhance mineral uptake (Cakmaci et al., 2005; Saharan and Nehra, 2011; Yazdani et al., 2012). The utilization of biofertilizers has become a feasible production practice (Zaied et al., 2003; Zahir et al., 2004; Zaidi et al., 2006; Anjum et al., 2007). A number of different bacteria promote plant growth, including *Azotobacter* sp., *Azospirillum* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *Bacillus* sp. *Acetobacter* sp. (Turan et al., 2006). Economic and environmental benefits can include increased income from high yields (Swedrzynska and Sawicka, 2000; Mirza et al., 2000), reduced fertilizer costs and reduced emission of the greenhouse gas, N₂O as well as reduced leaching of NO₃ (Shaharouna et al., 2006). Use of biofertilizers containing beneficial microorganism instead of synthetic chemical are known to improve

Corresponding author: Mohammad Yazdani, Department of Agronomy, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, Iran. E-mail: Yazdanisavadkoobi@yahoo.com

plant growth through supply of plant nutrients and may help to sustain environmental health and soil productivity (Orhan et al., 2006). The use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in agriculture for promoting the circulation of plant nutrition and reducing the need of chemical fertilizers is well recognized (Regina et al., 2007). The utilization of biofertilizers has become a feasible production practice (Zaied et al., 2003; Zaidi et al., 2006; Anjum et al., 2007). They can affect plant growth either directly or indirectly through various mechanisms of action. N_2 fixing and P-solubilizing bacteria may be important for plant nutrition by increasing uptake by the plants, and playing a significant role as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in the biofertilization of crops (Zahir et al., 2004; Yomg et al., 2005). Nitrogen fixation and P-solubilization, production of antibiotic (Idriss, 2002; Sturz and Christie, 2003; Commare et al., 2003; Cavaglieri et al., 2004) and increased root dry weight (Zahir et al., 2004) are the principal mechanism for the PGPR. A number of different bacteria promote plant growth, including *Azotobacter* sp., *Azospirillum* sp., *Pseudomonas* sp., *Bacillus* sp. *Acetobacter* sp. (Turan et al., 2006). Economic and environmental benefits can include increased income from high yields (Swedrzynska and Sawicka., 2000; Mirza et al., 2000), reduced fertilizer costs and reduced emission of the greenhouse gas, N_2O as well as reduced leaching of NO_3 (Shaharoona et al., 2006). The aim of this paper is reviewing of different researches focused on PGPR roles and important and their application in sustainable agriculture.

The influence of PGPR on crop growth in sustainable agriculture PGPR and enhance plant growth

PGPR can affect plant growth by different direct and indirect mechanisms (Vessey, 2003), but the specific mechanisms involved have not all been well-characterized. Direct mechanisms of plant growth promotion by PGPR can be demonstrated in the absence of plant pathogens or other rhizosphere microorganisms, while indirect mechanisms involve the ability of PGPR to reduce the deleterious effects of plant pathogens on crop yield. PGPR have been reported to directly enhance plant growth by a variety of mechanisms: fixation of atmospheric nitrogen that is transferred to the plant, production of siderophores that chelate iron and make it available to the plant root, solubilization of minerals such as phosphorus, and synthesis of phytohormones. Direct enhancement of mineral uptake due to increases in specific ion fluxes at the root surface in the presence of PGPR has also been reported (Requena et al., 1997; Kennedy et al., 2004). PGPR strains may use one or more of these mechanisms in the rhizosphere. Molecular approaches using microbial and plant mutants altered in their ability

to synthesize or respond to specific phytohormones have increased our understanding of the role of phytohormone synthesis as a direct mechanism of plant growth enhancement by PGPR (Nelson, 2004; Wu et al., 2005).

PGPR that indirectly enhance plant growth via suppression of phytopathogens do so by a variety of mechanisms (Han et al., 2004; Cakmaci et al., 2005). These include the ability to produce siderophores that chelate iron, making it unavailable to pathogens; the ability to synthesize anti-fungal metabolites such as antibiotics, fungal cell wall-lysing enzymes, or hydrogen cyanide, which suppress the growth of fungal pathogens; the ability to successfully compete with pathogens for nutrients or specific niches on the root; and the ability to induce systemic resistance (Vessey, 2003; Kennedy et al., 2004). Plant studies have shown that the beneficial effects of *Azospirillum* on plants can be enhanced by co-inoculation with other microorganisms. Co-inoculation, frequently, increased growth and yield, compared to single inoculation, provided the plants with more balanced nutrition, and improved absorption of nitrogen, phosphorus and mineral nutrients (Yazdani et al., 2011).

Bacterial Biofertilizers

Nitrogen is one of the most important nutrients for maize production as it affects dry matter production by influencing leaf area development and maintenance as well as photosynthetic efficiency. It can be applied through chemical or organic manure (Warman and Havard., 1998; Devi et al., 2007) and biological means (Zahir et al., 2004; Lerner et al., 2006), but chemical nitrogen fertilizer is expensive. N can be easily lost by leaching, denitrification or volatilization (Yomg et al., 2005; Zaidi et al., 2009). Agricultural systems require surplus N additions in order to produce desired yields because current management practices tend to disengage energy flows and nutrient cycles in space and time (Tonitto et al., 2006; Violante and Portugal, 2007). Phosphorus is second only to nitrogen in mineral nutrients most commonly limiting the growth of terrestrial plants. Ironically, soils may have large reserves of total P, but the amounts available to plants is usually a tiny proportion of this total (Stevenson and Cole, 1999; Karnataka, 2007; Stajkovic et al., 2010). The low availability of P to plants is because the vast majority of soil P is found in insoluble forms, and plants can only absorb P in two soluble forms, the monobasic ($H_2PO_4^-$) and the dibasic (HPO_4^{2-}) ions (Glass, 1989). Symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria replaced 60% of the nitrogen requirements of sugarcane amounting to 200 kg N/ha.

PGPR can play a significant role in crop nutrition, increasing total uptake and in some cases nutrient use efficiency (Yazdani et al., 2011). This may be

associated with increased growth and yield. In many cases PGPR cause a change in the absorption of several nutrients by the host simultaneously, though the effect on different nutrients is rarely the same. Bacterial inoculants formulation containing one or more beneficial bacterial strains (or species) in an easy-to-use and economical carrier material, organic, inorganic, or synthesized from defined molecules. The inoculants are the means of bacterial transport from the factory to the living plant. The desired effects of the inoculants on plant growth can include nitrogen fixation in legumes, bio-control of (mainly) soil-borne diseases, the enhancement of mineral uptake, weathering of soil minerals and nutritional or hormonal effects. Bacterial inoculants may require lengthy and expensive registration procedures in some countries. 'Biofertilizer' - A misleading but widely used term meaning "bacterial inoculants. Usually it refers to preparations of microorganisms that may be a partial or complete substitute for chemical fertilization (like rhizobial inoculants). However, other bacterial effects on plant growth are largely ignored. The reason for using the word "fertilizer" is that in some countries it allows easier registration for commercial use. This term, although is appropriate for rhizobia, should be abandoned.

Mode of action of PGPR as biofertilizers

As our understanding of the complex environment of the rhizosphere, of the mechanisms of action of PGPR, and of the practical aspects of inoculants formulation and delivery increases, we can expect to see new PGPR products becoming available. The success of these products will depend on our ability to manage the rhizosphere to enhance survival and competitiveness of these beneficial microorganisms (Violante and Portugal, 2007). Rhizosphere management will require consideration of soil and crop cultural practices as well as inoculants formulation and delivery (Date, 2001). The use of multi-strain inoculate of PGPR with known functions is of interest as these formulations may increase consistency in the field (Nikolay et al., 2006; Figueiredo et al., 2007; Kramany et al., 2007). They offer the potential to address multiple modes of action, multiple pathogens and temporal or spatial variability (Nelson, 2004; Saharan and Nehra, 2011).

The means by which PGPR enhance the nutrient status of host plants can be categorized into five areas: (1) biological N₂ fixation, (2) increasing the availability of nutrients in the rhizosphere, (3) inducing increase in root surface area (Figure 1), (4) enhancing other beneficial symbioses of the host, and (5) combination of modes of action. Each of these areas will be examined in this section of the review (Vessey, 2003). The nitrogen fixing bacteria such as *Azotobacter* and

Azospirillum reduce the nitrogen gas to ammonia using intensive energy to break the nitrogen bonds so that it can combine with hydrogen to form ammonia. Many actual and putative are biofertilizer PGPR produce phytohormones that are believed to be related to their ability to stimulate plant growth. Inoculation of plants with this bacterium causes morphological changes, such as an increase in root surface area through the production of more root hairs, which in turn enhance mineral uptake (Requena et al., 1997; Vessey, 2003; Kennedy et al., 2004). Economic and environmental benefits can include increased income from high yields, reduced fertilizer costs and reduced emission of the greenhouse gas, N₂O as well as reduced leaching of NO₃. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria are common in the rhizosphere and secretion of organic acids and phosphates are common method of facilitating the conversion of insoluble forms of P to plant-available forms (Kim et al., 1998).



Fig. 1: Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on root growth of corn (Yazdani et al, 2012). Figure 1 show interaction of PGPR with other soil microorganisms such as phosphate, solubilizing microorganisms (PSM) Induced Resistance

Induced disease resistance occurs when a plant exhibits an increased level of resistance to infection by a pathogen after prior treatment with an inducing agent. Some selected strains of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been found to activate plant defence via induced systemic resistance (ISR) (Zkoc and Hanifi, 2001). Treatment of plants with selected strains of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can induce systemic resistance in carnation, cucumber, radish, tobacco, and *Arabidopsis* as evidenced by an enhanced defensive capacity upon challenge inoculation with a pathogen (Kloepper et al., 1992). Reductions in the severity of disease occur rather than total inhibition, but this can still result in a significant increase in yield over plants not inoculated with PGPR inoculation, and occurs despite the fact that pathogen infection generally reduces with PGPR inoculation (Tuzun, 2001; Raj et al., 2003; Saikia et al., 2004). Microbial inoculants can be used as an alternative means for controlling pests and disease in agricultural cropping systems, permitting the reduced use of pesticides that could otherwise pose threats to human health and non-targeted organisms (Saikia et al., 2004). When plants are invaded by micro-organisms or damaged by mechanical injuries, major physiological changes are induced and plant defence enzymes are generally activated. Induced disease resistance is an active plant defence process that depends on physical or chemical barriers in the host and is activated by biotic or abiotic inducing agents. Furthermore, perhaps the most important of these is exclusion, which seems to be a simple case of competition for space. As a result, the most effective control is achieved when PGPR inoculated takes place before attack by the pathogen. Other factors involved may be related to changes in root exudates, which can cause changes in the rhizosphere microbial community, changes to the crop root architecture or changes to root biochemistry connected with plant defence mechanisms. Changes to plant defence mechanisms or so-called induced resistance result from a priming effect of the PGPR inoculated, which does not in itself cause a significant defensive response by the plant but induces the plant to respond faster to infection by pathogenic fungi. Dashti et al. (1998) reported that co-inoculation of soybean with *B. japonicum* and PGPR increased soybean nodulation and hastened the onset of nitrogen fixation, when the soil was still cool. Total fixed N, fixed N as a percentage of total plant N, and protein and N yield were also increased by PGPR inoculation. Ryu et al. (2003) reported that some PGPR strains release a blend of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that promote growth in *Arabidopsis* seedlings and induce resistance against *Erwinia carotovora* subsp. *carotovora*. In particular, the volatile components 2, 3-butanediol and acetone were released exclusively from two PGPR

strains that trigger the greatest level of growth promotion and induced disease resistance.

Interaction with other soil microorganisms

Rhizosphere microorganisms including free living N fixing bacteria and general plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) interact with beneficial within the mycorrhizosphere AMF (Requena et al., 1997; Tsimilli-Michael et al., 2000). Large increases in yield over uninoculated controls have been observed with some PGPR though the interaction with PGPR can be antagonistic as well as synergistic and there seems to be a high degree of specificity between the plant, AMF and PGPR species involved in these interactions (Requena et al., 1997).

Conclusions

Over the years, this phenomenon led to serious environmental problems such as depletion of soil quality and health, ocean and ground water pollution, and emergence of resistant pathogens. It is a big challenge to feed the increasing world population on decreasing farmland areas without damaging environment. One of new topics in sustainable agriculture for soil resource management is about soil microorganisms and beneficial symbiotic relations among ecosystem components in food chains. Today, soil biotechnology could produce biofertilizers in addition to beneficial soil microorganism for removing of toxin and other soil pollutants, plant residual decomposition, improvement of physical soil structure, enhancement of plant protection and etc. It is well known that rhizosphere and soil microorganisms play an important role in maintaining crop and soil health through versatile mechanisms: nutrient cycling and uptake, suppression of plant pathogens, induction of resistance in plant host, direct stimulation of plant growth. This review has shown that there is huge potential for the use of PGPR in sustainable agriculture. The use of growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) is a promising solution for sustainable, environmentally friendly agriculture. Although significant control of plant pathogens or direct enhancement of plant development has been demonstrated by PGPR in the laboratory and in the greenhouse, results in the field have been less consistent. Because of these and other challenges in screening, formulation and application, PGPR have yet to fulfil their promise and potential as commercial inoculants. Recent progress in our understanding of their diversity, colonization ability and mechanisms of action, formulation, and application should facilitate their development as reliable components in the management of sustainable agricultural systems.

References

- Bashan, Y. 1998. Inoculants of plant growth-promoting bacteria for use in agriculture. *Biotechnology Advance*, 16:729-770.
- Blanco, J.M. and Bakker, P.M. 2007. Interactions between plants and beneficial *Pseudomonas* spp: exploiting bacterial traits for crop protection. *Journal Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, 4: 367-389.
- Cakmaci, R., Akmaci, I.A. and Figen, B. 2005. Growth promotion of plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under greenhouse and two different field soil conditions. *Biochemistry*, 38: 1482-1487.
- Cavaglieri, L.R., Passone, A. and Etcheverry, M.G. 2004. Correlation between screening procedures to select root endophytes for biological control of *Fusarium verticillioides* in *Zea mays*. *Biological Control*, 31: 259-262.
- Cherr, C.M., Scholberg, J.M. and Sorley, R.M. 2006. Green manure approaches to crop production. *Agronomy Journal*, 98: 302-319.
- Date, R.A. 2001. Advances in inoculants technology: a brief review. *Australian Journal of Expended Agriculture*, 41:321-325.
- Dai, J., Becquer, T. and Rouiller, A. 2004. Influence of heavy metals on C and N mineralization and microbial biomass in Zn-, Pb-, Cu-, and Cd-contaminated soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 25: 99-109.
- Dashti, N., Zhang, F., Hynes, R. and Smith, D.L. 1998. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria accelerate nodulation and increase nitrogen fixation activity by field grown soybean (*Glycine max* L.) under short season conditions. *Plant and Soil Science*, 200: 205-213.
- Glick, B.R. 1995. The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 41:109-117.
- Delvasto, P., Valverde, A. and Ballester, A. 2008. Diversity and activity of phosphate bioleaching bacteria from a high-phosphorus iron ore. *Hydrometallurgy*, 92: 124-129.
- Devi, R., Kumar, A. and Deboch, B. 2007. Organic farming and sustainable development in Ethiopia. *Scientific Research*, 2: 199-203.
- Esitken, A., Pirlak, L., Turan, M. and Sahin, F. 2006. Effects of floral and foliar application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield, growth and nutrition of sweet cherry. *Scientia Horticulturae* 110: 324-327.
- Figueiredo, M., Martinez, V.B., Burity, C.R. and Chanway, H. 2007. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving nodulation and nitrogen fixation in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25:145-148.
- Han, H., Supanjani, S. and Lee, D. 2004. Effect of co-inoculation with phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria on mineral uptake and growth of pepper and cucumber. *Agronomy Journal*, 24: 169-176.
- Lugtenberg, B., Dekkers J. and Bloemberg, G.V. 2001. Molecular determinants of rhizosphere colonization by *Pseudomonas*. *Annal Review Physiopathology*, 38:461-490.
- Karnataka, J. 2007. Effect of integrated nutrient management on economics of maize cultivation. *Agriculture Science*, 20: 831-832.
- Kennedy, I.R., Choudhury A. and Kecskes, M.L. 2004. Non-symbiotic bacterial diazotrophs in crop-farming systems: can their potential for plant growth promotion be better exploited. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 36: 1229-1244.
- Kramany, M.F.E., Bahr, A.A., Mohamed, M.F. and Kabesh, M.O. 2007. Utilization of Bio-Fertilizers in Field Crops Production 16-Groundnut Yield, its Components and Seeds Content as Affected by Partial Replacement of Chemical Fertilizers by Bio-Organic Fertilizers. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 3: 25-29.
- Kloepper, J.W. and Tuzun, S. 1992. Proposed definitions related to induced disease resistance. *Biocontrol Science Technology*, 2: 349-351.
- Kumar, B., Trivedi, P. and Pandey, A. 2007. *Pseudomonas corrugata*: A suitable bacterial inoculant for maize grown under rainfed conditions of Himalayan region. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 39: 3093-3100.
- Kumar, V.K. 2001. Enriching vermicompost by nitrogen fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Bioresource Technology*, 76: 173-175.
- Lerner, A., Herschkovitz, Baudoin, Y. and Nazaret, E. 2006. Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* inoculation on rhizobacterial communities analyzed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 38: 1212-1218.
- Mkhabela, M.S. and Warman, P.R. 2005. The influence of municipal solid waste compost on yield, soil phosphorus availability and uptake by two vegetable crops grown in a Pugwash sandy loam soil in Nova Scotia. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, 106: 57-67.
- Molla, A.H., Shamsuddin, Z.H., Halimi, M.S., Morziah, M. and Putehd, A.B. 2001. Potential for enhancement of root growth and nodulation of soybean co inoculated with *Azospirillum* and *Bradyrhizobium* in laboratory systems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33: 457-463.
- Nikolay, S., Strigul, A., and Kravchenko, V. 2006. Mathematical modeling of PGPR inoculation into the rhizosphere. *Environmental and Modelling Software*, 21: 1158 -1171.

- Nelson, L.M. 2004. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): prospects for new inoculants. 2004 *Plant Management Network*, 10:1034-1040
- Oliveira, C.A., Alves, M.C. and Marriel, I. 2008. Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms isolated from rhizosphere of maize cultivated in an oxisol of the Brazilian Cerrado Biome. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 3: 1-6.
- Orhan, E., Esitken, A., Ercisli, S., Turan, M. and Sahin, F. 2006. Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield, growth and nutrient contents in organically growing raspberry. *Scientia Horticulture*, 111: 38-43.
- Persello, C. and Nussaume, F. 2003. Tales from the underground: Molecular plant-rhizobacteria interactions. *Plant Cell Environmental*, 26:189-199.
- Raj, S.N., Chaluvajuru, G., Amruthesh, K.N. and Shetty, H.S. 2003. Induction of growth promotion and resistance against downy mildew on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) by rhizobacteria. *Plant disease*, 87:380-384.
- Requena, N., Jimenez, I., Toro, M. and Barea, J.M., 1997. Interactions between plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Rhizobium* spp. in the rhizosphere of *Anthyllus cytisoides*, a model legume for revegetation in Mediterranean semi-arid ecosystems. *New Phytology*, 136, 667-677.
- Roesti, D., Gaur, R., Johri, B.N. and Imfeld, G. 2006. Plant growth stage, fertilizer management and bio-inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria affect the rhizobacterial community structure in rain-fed wheat fields. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 38: 1111-1120.
- Ryu, C.M. and Farag, M. 2003. Bacterial volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 100: 4927-4932.
- Saikia, R., Kumar, R., Singh, T., Srivastava, A.K., Arora, D.K., Gogoi, D.K. and Lee, M.W. 2004. Induction of defense related enzymes and pathogenesis related proteins in *Pseudomonas fluorescens*-treated chickpea in response to infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* F. sp. *Microbiology*, 32:47-52.
- Saharan, B. and Nehra, S. 2011. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: A Critical Review. *Life Sciences and Medicine Research*, 21: 1-31.
- Stajkovic, O., Delic, D., Drajana, A. and Dordec, J. 2010. Improvement of common bean growth by co-inoculation with *Rhizobium* and plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, 16: 5919-5926.
- Tsimilli-Michael, M., Eggenberg, P., Biro, B., Kovacs-Pechy, K., Voros, I. and Strasser, R.J.S., 2000. Synergistic and antagonistic effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Azospirillum* and *Rhizobium* nitrogen-fixers on the photosynthetic activity of alfalfa, probed by the polyphasic chlorophyll a fluorescence transient O-J-I-P. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 15, 169- 182.
- Tonitto, C., David, M.B. and Drinkwater, L.E. 2006. Replacing bare fallows with cover crops in fertilizer-intensive cropping systems: A meta-analysis of crop yield and N dynamics. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*, 112: 58-72.
- Turan, M., Ataoglu, N. and Sahin, F. 2006. Evaluation of the capacity of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and fungi on different forms of phosphorus in liquid culture. *Sustainable Agriculture*, 28: 99-108.
- Tuzun, S. 2001. The relationship between pathogen-induced systemic resistance (ISR) and mutagenic (horizontal) resistance in plants. *European Journal Plant Pathology*, 107:85-93.
- Vessey, J.K. 2003. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizer. *Plant and Soil*, 255: 271- 586.
- Violante H.G. and Portugal, V.O. 2007. Alteration of tomato fruit quality by root inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): *Bacillus subtilis*. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 113 103-106.
- Wilhelm, J.M., Johnson, F., Karlen, L. and David, T. 2007. Corn stover to sustain soil organic carbon further constrains biomass supply. *Agronomy Journal*, 99: 1665-1667.
- Wu, B., Cao, S.C., Li, Z.H., Cheung, Z.G. and Wong, K.C. 2005. Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixers, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth. *Geoderma*, 125: 155-162.
- Yazdani, M., Rahdari, P. and Motevalli, S. 2011. Effects of Organic Manure and Biological Fertilizers on Macronutrient Uptake of Corn (*Zea Mays* L.). *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 5(13): 3761-3767.
- Yazdani M. and Pirdashti, H. 2012. Co-inoculation effects of P- solubilizer (PSM) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on root and shoot growth in corn (*Zea mays* L.). 8th *International Soil Science Congress of Iran*, 3:345-348
- Yong, K., Bae, B. and Choung, Y. 2005. Optimization of biological phosphorus removal from contaminated sediments with phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 99: 23-29.
- Zahir, A.Z., Arshad, M. and Frankenberger, W.F. 2004. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Advances in Agronomy*, 81: 97-168.
- Zaidi, A. and S. Mohammad. 2006. Co-inoculation effects of phosphate solubilizing

- microorganisms and glomus fasciculatum on green gram-bradyrhizobium symbiosis. *Agricultural Science*, 30: 223-230.
- Zaied, K.A., Abd, H., Afify, A.H. Aida, A.H. and Nassef, M.A.. 2003. Yield and nitrogen assimilation of winter wheat inoculated with new recombinant inoculants of rhizobacteria. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6: 344-358.
- Zaidi, K. Ahemad, M.S. and Oves, M. 2009. Plant growth promotion by phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Acta Microbiologica et Immunologica Hungarica*, 56 (3): 263-284.
- Zkoc, U. and Hanifi, M. 2001. In vitro inhibition of the mycelia growth of some root rots fungi by rhizobium leguminosarum biovar phaseoli isolates. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 25: 435-445.