RESEARCH OPINIONS IN ANIMAL & VETERINARY SCIENCES



ISSN 2221-1896 (PRINT)

www.roavs.com

ISSN 2223-0343 (ONLINE)

Prevalence of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows: a case study of the livestock complex, Tirana (Albania)

Kopali A1, Shoshi N2 and Koleci Xh2

¹Faculty of Biotechnology and Food, Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania ²Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Agricultural University of Tirana, Albania

Abstract

This study was carried out in a farm of cow in Ndroq, Tirana (capital of Albania), aiming at the definition of subclinical mastitis in the milk cows, knowing that 70-80% of the total mastitis are of the subclinical form. A total 96 milk samples were collected from 24 cows – half of them in the first 100 days of the lactation period and half at the end of this period (before drying). Analytical results showed an increasing of the somatic cells in the milk, beyond the permitted levels: 430.00-630.00 cells/ml. Bacteriological tests demonstrated the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus agalactie*. The prevalence of subclinical mastitis in total was 37.68%. It was detected that 20.8% of the total was caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* and 4.2% of the total was caused by *Streptococcus agalactie*. At the end of the study, it was reccomended a package of causes/preventive measures against mastitis in this farm.

Keywords: Prevention Measures, Somatic Cells, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus agalactie

Introduction

Mastitis is the most common infectious disease encountered in intensively farmed dairy cattle (Hoblet and Miller, 1999). Bovine mastitis is caused by many infectious agents. Generally they are divided in four groups: contagious mastitis, environmental mastitis, mastitis caused by minor pathogens and uncommon pathogens (Becker, 1994). Mastitis is a disease of major economic importance and the most costly disease in dairy cattle. The economic impact of mastitis involves several components: loss of milk production, discharged milk from cows with clinical mastitis. replacement cost of culled cows, extra labour required for treatment and monitoring, veterinary services for treatment and control program. Overall economic losses due to mastitis in the USA are estimated to be approximately 185 \$ per cow annually. The total annual cost of mastitis in the dairy cattle population is estimated to be 10% of the total value of farm milk sales, and about two third of this loss is due to reduced milk production in sub clinically affected cows (Hoblet and Miller, 1999). In addition, there are more cost factors such as antimicrobial residues in milk from treated cows and other aspects of food safety.

Mastitis has a serious zoonotic potential associated with shedding of bacteria and their toxins in the milk. Milk originated from affected cows can serve as source of dangerous bacteria: Mycobacterium bovis, Streptococcus agalactiae, Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocitogenes, Salmonella spp, Campylobacteria spp, Eschericia.coli etc. (Gonzalez and Wilson, 2003). The most prevalent bacteria isolated from mastitis are Streptococcus agalactiae, Staphylococcus aureus, Eschericia coli and Streptococcus uberis. Despite the claim of some authors that implementation of control programmes, the incidence of contagious mastitis caused by Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus agalactiae has declined (Sol et al., 2000), infection with Staphylococcus aureus remains a common cause of clinical and subclinical mastitis in many modern dairy herds. Many studies have proved that strain types derived from udder are different from strains isolated from other sites of the body. The mechanism of tissue invasion includes virulence factors such hyaluronidase, staphylocinase, haemolysins proteinases. The capsule protects the agent from phagocytosis and Staphylococcus aureus can survive and multiply inside of phagocytes (Roberson et al., 1994).

Staphylococcus aureus may cause mastitis which ranges in severity from per acute to subclinical. Nowadays, the most common observed form is chronic subclinical disease interspersed with periodic clinical episodes. Severe disease usually develops in early lactation. The most severe form caused

by *Staphylococcus aureus* is named as per acute gangrenous mastitis which can be developed into toxemia. Subclinical and chronic cases have high importance in terms of source and reservoir of infection (Wilson et al., 1997).

Mastitis caused by Streptococcus agalactiae is less frequently, although it continues to be an important problem in individual herds with poor hygiene condition (Naive, et al. 1989). The course of disease is similar that of chronic Staphylococcus to aureus infection with high somatic cell counts (SCC) (Wilson et al., 1997). The clinical cases tend to be mild systemic reactions and confined to the mammary gland. When the inflammation of acini and ducts begins to resolve, the epithelial lining is shed, contributing to clot formation in the milk.

The aim of this paper is to emphasize the importance of bovine subclinical mastitis, to isolate the most prevalent bacteria and to encourage implementation of control programs in Albanian dairy herds.

Materials and Methods

This study was undertaken in a dairy farm production in Ndroq-Tirana. The farm was established in 2007 and has a total of 62 dairy cows. They belong to three different breeds: 12 Holstein, 15 Jersey and 35 Brunalpina. The study was carried out analyzing randomly 96 samples of milk, belonging to 24 cows. 48 random samples were taken during the first 100 days of lactation period and equal number of samples in the last period of lactation, before drying. All 24 tested cows were not treated with antibiotics in the last two months and all their teats quarters were healthy.

Milk samples were collected and transported according to standard tecniques and preserved in freezing conditions until their analysis (Piccinini et al., 2003). The milk samples were analyzed for total somatic cell count according to the method described by Piccinini et al. (2003). Every sample was taken equally from every teat of the cow: two teats on the left and two others on the right.

Counting of total somatic cells was performed through the use of defined kits, placed in an electronic automatic equippment of De Laval production. Samples were put into kits which were put in the electronic counter equippment, where the total somatic cells were counted automatically.

Milk samples were also analyzed for neutrophils percentage (Roberson et al., 1994). Microbiological bacterial examination was performed for *Staphilococus aureus* and *Staphilococus agalactea* (Larsen et al., 2002). Chemical and physical analyses of the raw milk were also determined (Radostits et al., 1994).

Results and Discussion

Results of total somatic cells number for the 96 samples analyzed are shown in table 1. In this way, it can be identified more clearly which of the teats has possible problems related with mastitis presence. Results of neutrophils percentage for each cow tested teat are shown in table 2. Table 3 shows the percentage of milk sample polluted with *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactie* respectively. Table 4 shows some average chemical parameters measured in the raw milk of this farm.

Milk from mastitis infected cows generally contains a high number of somatic cells as well as a high bacterial count. For this reason, the counting of the somatic cells is considered as an adequate mean to discover the presence of mastitis in the cows (Larsen et al., 2002). In this study, the tested samples have a total somatic cells count above the normal value. This content ranges from 430.00 to 630.00 cells/ml (Table1). Cell count in the non-infected lactating mammary gland is usually less than 100.00 somatic cells/ml. According to contemporary studies (Zecconi et al., 2003), this fact testifies the presence of subclinical mastitis in the farm. Neutrophils are a granulocitary fraction of the white blood cells, which play a very important role in the defence system of the organism. In the milk mastitis

Table 1: Total somatic cells count (SCC) in milk samples

No. of	Number of somatic cells from				
samples	e	every cow teat tested x 10 ³			
	First	Second	First	Second	
	left	left	Right	Right	
1	254	150	742*	195	
2	150	25	196	675 [*]	
3	235	723^{*}	485 [*]	743*	
4	185	380	30	350	
5	640^{*}	660^{*}	750^{*}	730^{*}	
6	480	22	318	20	
7	28	31	15	125	
8	650**	27	710**	628**	
9	350	280	330	310	
10	8	12	230	21	
11	690**	610**	210	120	
12	25	12	9	9	
13	470^*	31	240	510^{*}	
14	12	9	6,5	10	
15	675 [*]	460^{*}	210	230	
16	22	270	290	12	
17	690	280	22	12	
18	38	310	160	590	
19	11	675 [*]	670^{*}	590^{*}	
20	12	150	75	221	
21	500	500	500	500	
22	13	550 [*]	610^{*}	523 [*]	
23	7	5, 5	3,8	4, 5	
24	450 [*]	11, 5	40	429*	

Note 1: *Staphylococcus aureus 2: **Streptococcus agalactie

Table 2: Neutrophyls content (%) in milk samples

Table 2: Neutro					
No.	Neutrophyls content (%)				
of samples	First	Second	First	Second	
	left	left	Right	right	
1	15.9	9	80*	31.5	
2 3	12	12	44	33.3*	
3	76	82^*	78^*	69 [*]	
4	21	21	10	14.5	
5	68^*	55 [*]	50 [*]	59 [*]	
6	29	7	7	0	
7	3	6 0		12	
8	51**	2	39** 68		
9	22	20	24	24	
10	0	2	11	6	
11	2.3** 2.3 23*	30**	0	0	
12	2.3	0	0	0	
13	23 [*]	2	15.5	17^{*}	
14	2	3.1	1.8	7.5	
15	13*	14.5*	14.8	11	
16	7	13.5	11	2	
17	65	11	3 3.1		
18	14	12.7	10.1 14		
19	0	39.5 [*]	67 [*]	67 [*] 56 [*]	
20	6	8.3	6.1	5.9	
21	98	98	98	98	
22	5.8	68^*	3*	48^*	
23	1.1	2.1	1.7	19	
24	21.9^{*}	2.6	19.2	18*	

Note 1: *Staphylococcus aureus 2: **Streptococcus agalactie

Table 3: Microbiological results of milk samples

Tuble 5. Wher obiological results of hink samples					
Type of	Positive	%	Negative	%	
Micro organisms	samples		samples		
Staphylococcus aureus	21	21.8	75	78.2	
Streptococcus agalactie	5	5.2	91	94.8	

Table 4: Analyses of milk composition

Parameters	Average results		
Acidity	20 Thornier		
Fat	4.1 5%		
Total protein	3.8 %		
Lactose	4.7 %		
Ash	0.8%		

infected cows, neutrophils are multiplied considerably (Roberson et al., 1994). As it is shown in Table 2, there is a significantly increasing of their number in subclinical mastitis cases. This happens because neutrophils are the principal cells involved in the elimination of bacteria from mammary gland. Recruitment of neutrophils from the blood to the site of infection, responds to a number of inflammatory mediators such as cytokines and prostaglandins which are the first steps in the inflammatory response. Increased cell number in milk within hours of infection with counts of several hundred cells per ml is common in subclinical infections (Quinn et al., 2002). Our findings are in accordance with other studies (Zecconi et al., 2006).

The present results showed the most frequently two types of pathogen microorganisms: *Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus agalactie* (Table 1) which are the main contagious bacterial pathogens, with significant impact on milk quality (Gonzalez et al., 2003).

In total, the prevalence in subclinical mastitis was 37.68% of the total cows analyzed. Our results showed out of 96 samples, Staphylococcus aureus was responsible for 21 cases of subclinical mastitis and Streptococcus agalactie for 5 cases. So, 20.8% of total cases were caused by Staphylococcus aureus and 4.2% of total cases were caused by Streptococcus agalactie (Table 3). Staphylococcus aureus is one of most frequent causes of mastitis and often it causes more than 50% of subclinical infection in a dairy herd. The type of mastitis produced by Staphylococcus aureus ranges from subclinical to peracute life-threatening form, one of which is gangrenous mastitis, caused by Staphylococcus aureus leads to a gradual repleacement of secretory tissue with fibrous tissue and subsequnt loss of milk production. Streptococcus agalactie resides in the milk and on the surfaces of the milk channels, but does not invade the tissue. There is rapid multiplication of this bacteria with a great outpuring of neutrophils into the ducts with damage to the ductal and acinar epithelium. Both these mastitis respond poorly to antibiotics, because of the development of the tissue barrier tha prevents penetration of antibiotics to the site of infection (Quinn et al., 1994).

Analytical results showed an increasing value of total acidity of milk (20 Thorner) towards the normal value of 18 Thorner. This fact is strictly connected and caused by the presence of subclinical mastitis in cows (Fox et al., 2003).

The results of this study showed that subclinical mastitis is a serious threat for the cows on this farm. Prevalence of subclinical mastitis was higher than the developed countries (Piccinini et al., 2003), where rigorous control on subclinical mastitis is applied (Zecconi, et al., 2006).

Conclusions

- 1. High prevalence of subclinical mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus agalactie* is favoured by a number of factors:
- -Unqualified stall workers;
- -Hygenic and technical conditions of milking machinery were not at the right level;
- -Desinfections of cow tits and selection of the right desinfectant are not performed according to the standard procedure;
- -No application of medicaments;
- 2. Despite the fact that the farm we have studied our study is listed among the best selected and modern farms in Albania, we have observed that there wasn't

applied any contemporary control package for prevention of mastitis in dairy herds.

References

- Becker, H. 1994. *Streptococcus agalactiae* (Group B streptococci). In: The significance of pathogenic microorganisms in raw milk. FIL-IDF, Brussels, S.I. 9405. Pp: 43-54
- Fox, K.L., Hancock, Mickelson, A. and Britten, A. 2003. Bulk tank milk analysis: factors associated with appearence of *Mycoplasma sp.* in milk. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine B, Infectious diseases of Veterinary and Public Health*, 50: 235-240.
- Gonzalez, R.N. and Wilson, D.J. 2003. Mycoplasmal mastitis in dairy herds. *Veterinary Clinics of North America, Food Animal Practice*, 19: 199-221.
- Hoblet, K.H. and Miller, G.Y. 1999. Use of partial budgeting to determine the economic outcome of *Staphylococcus aureus* intramammary infection reduction strategies in three Ohio diary herds. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 199:714-720.
- Larsen, H.D., Aarestrup, F.M. and Jensen, N.E. 2002. Geographical variation in the presence of genes encoding superantigenic exotoxins and beta-haemolysin among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from bovine mastitis in Europe and USA. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 85: 61-67.
- Naive, F.K., Dodd, F.H., Kingwill,R.G. and Westegarth, D.R. 1989. Control of mastitis in the dairy herd by hygiene and management. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 696-707.
- Piccinini, R., Binda, E., Zanini, L. and Zecconi, A. 2003. La presenza di batterie contagiosi riduce la produzione di latte, Informatore Agrario. P: 59.

- Radostits, S.M., Leslie,K.E. and Fetrow, J. 1994. Herd Health: food animal production. 2nd (ed.), W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia. P: 631.
- Roberson, J.R., Fox, K.L., Hancock, D.D., Gay, C.C., and Besser, T.E. 1994. Coagulase-positive Staphylo-coccus intramammary infections in primiparous dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 77: 958-969.
- Sol, J., Sampimon, O.C., Barkema, H.W. and Schukken, Y. 2000. Factors associated with cure after therapy of clinical mastitis caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 83: 278-284.
- Wilson, D.J., Gonzalez,R.N., and Das, H.H. 1997. Bovine mastitis pathogens in New York and Pennsylvania: prevalence and effects on somatic cell count and milk production. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 80: 2592-2598.
- Zecconi, A., Cesaris, L., Liandris, E. and Piccinini, R. 2006. Role of several *Staphylococcus aureus* virulence factors on the inflammatory response in bovine mammary gland. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 40: 177-183.
- Zecconi, A., Piccinini,R., and Fox, K.L. 2003. Epidemiologic study of intramammary infections with *Staphylococcus aureus* during a control program in nine commercial dairy herds. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association*, 223: 684-68.
- Quinn, P.J., Markey, B.K., Carter, M.E., Donnelly, W.J. and Leonard, F.C. 2002. Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease. Iowa State University Press, Pp. 465-475.
- Quinn, P.J., Carter, M.E., Markey, B. and Carter, G.R. 2004. Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. Iowa State University Press, Pp. 327-345.