# ROAVS

### RESEARCH OPINIONS IN ANIMAL & VETERINARY SCIENCES

ISSN 2221-1896 (PRINT)

www.roavs.com

ISSN 2223-0343 (ONLINE)

## The effect of different levels of poultry by-product meal (PBPM) on performance of broiler

M. Mohammadi, A. Zarei, H. Lotfollahian, SH. Shagholani and E. Hajian

Department of Animal Science, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran

#### **Abstract**

In order to study the effect of different levels of poultry by-product meal (PBPM) in diet on production performance in broilers, an experiment was conducted on 321 broilers for a period of 42 days. Treatments included control group (without PBPM) and two levels of poultry by-product with 5 and 10%. During experiment, Weight gain traits (starter, grower, finisher and entire periods), feed intake (starter, grower, finisher and entire periods), feed conversion ratio (starter, grower, finisher and entire periods) were measured. The obtained results showed that weight gain and feed conversion ratio were significantly improved in level 5% PBPM group without affecting the feed intake. The results showed that PBPM had no negative effect up to 10% in diet of broiler chickens, however, better results could be obtained at 5% inclusion level.

**Keywords:** Poultry By-Product Meal, Diet, Broiler Chicken, Performance

#### Introduction

In poultry industry, 75-80 percent of the cost is incurred on feed purchase (Coon, 2002) and undoubtedly protein is the most expensive nutrient in the diet of domestic animals (NRC, 1994). In a report in it was mentioned that more than 55.5 million broilers were slaughtered having average slaughter weight was 1.8 Kg and about 300g was by-product (Hertrampf and Piedad-Pascual, 2000). Thus total waste of broilers can be about 17 million tons a year and this assessment excluded laying hens (Hertrampf and Pascual, 2000; FAO, 2011). Therefore, we can use PBPM as a cheap source for poultry production. PBPM protein could be better (Bednar et al., 2000; Yamka, 2003), equal (Bednar et al., 2000) or poorer (Clapper et al., 2001) digestible protein than soybean meal. The crude protein effective degradability of PBMP is lower than that of soybean (Da Silva et al., 1999; De Souza et al., 2000; Kamalak et al, 2005) and rapeseed meal with a ratio of 0.7-0.8 and much lower than that of whole cotton seed (Ilghami et al., 2008).

PBPM is a product obtained from drying and grinding of waste materials from poultry slaughter, usually processed by heating, grinding and drying (Pesti, 1987; Nikkhah and Kazemishirazi, 1995;

Webster et al., 1996; El Boushy et al., 2000; Sarabian, 2005; Kamyab, 2006; Watson, 2006; Porreza et al., 2008). The content of PBPM varies and depends on process method (Dale et al., 1993; Watson, 2006). Combination and process can influence in PBPM quality (Dozier et al., 2003; Locatelli and Hoehler, 2003).

There are different reported about the levels of PBPM used in poultry feed. Martosiswoyo and Jensen (1998) reported that we can use this product by 5% in diet without any harmful effects. Hosseinzadeh et al. (2010) showed that it can be used up to 7.5 percent in diet of laying hens and has no deleterious impact on performance and egg quality. In another study, PBPM replaced up to 10% with soybean protein (Bhargava and O'Neil, 1975). In an experiment, Samli et al. (2006) studied the effect of PBPM (0, 5 and 10%) and the results showed that PBPM in the diets of laying hens had no negative effects on performance of laying hens. Also, Raja et al. (2001) replaced, in an experiment, concluded that PBPM in the diet can be replaced up to 7.5% with fish meal. Khosravinia Mohammadzadeh (2006) concluded that PBPM can be a good replacement for fish meal in finisher diet but not in starter diet in broiler chickens. Also, Azman and Dalkilic (2006) conducted a study on the effect of 0, 4

**Table 1: Composition of feed** 

	Starter Grower				Finisher				
Ingredients	control	Experi	mental	control	Experi	mental	control	Experi	mental
	0 %	5 %	10 %	0 %	5 %	10 %	0 %	5 %	10 %
Corn	40.18	43.48	46.77	46.82	51.42	54.70	44.72	49.56	54.41
Soybean meal (44 %)	48.94	42.17	35.40	42.14	34.27	27.51	43.49	35.41	27.34
PBPM	-	5	10	-	5	10	-	5	10
Soybean Oil	6.56	5.45	4.36	7.19	5.87	4.78	8.26	6.9	5.55
Limestone	1.36	1.36	1.36	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.08	1.08	1.09
Dicalcium phosphate	1.79	1.53	1.27	1.6	1.34	1.08	1.43	1.18	0.92
Salt	0.37	0.21	0.04	0.37	0.21	0.04	0.38	0.21	0.04
Vitamin premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Mineral Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
DL- Methionine	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.15	0.15	0.15
L Lysine	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.03	-	-	-
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

**Table 2: Chemical composition of the feed ingredients** 

		Starter			Grower			Finisher	
	control	Experi	mental	control	Expe	rimental	control	Experi	mental
	0 %	5 %	10 %	0 %	5 %	10 %	0 %	5 %	10 %
ME (kcal/kg)	3025	3025	3025	3150	3150	3150	3200	3200	3200
Crude protein (%)	25.11	26.01	26.01	22.66	22.74	23.20	23.02	23.02	23.02
Calcium (%)	1.05	1.05	1.05	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.85	0.85	0.85
Available Phosphorus	0.50.16	0.5	0.5	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.42	0.42	0.42
(%) avai									
Sodium (%)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
Lys (%)	1.28	1.27	1.27	1.13	1.1	1.1	1.16	1.12	1.07
Met (%)	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.53	0.54	0.54	0.45	0.46	0.46
Met+ Cys (%)	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.76	0.76	0.76
Thr (%)	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.76	0.74	0.73
Val (%)	1.06	1.06	1.06	0.95	0.94	0.94	0.97	0.95	0.94
Ile (%)	0.98	0.94	0.94	0.87	0.84	0.82	0.89	0.85	0.81
Arg (%)	1.6	1.57	1.57	1.41	1.37	1.3	1.45	1.40	1.35
Try (%)	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.21

Table 3: Comparison the average relate to Weight gain in different experiment groups based on gram 0-9 9-20 20-31 31-42

20 20-31 31-42							
Experimental Diets	Average weight gain in different periods (gm)						
	0-11 days	11-24 days	24-42 days	0-42 days			
Control ( without PBPM )	218.50	772.50	1350.00 <sup>b</sup>	2415.81			
5 % PBPM sample A	219.25	717.33	1425.50 <sup>a</sup>	2245.27			
10 % PBPM sample A	206.00	720.00	1240.75 <sup>b</sup>	2123.76			
SEM	4.295	16.490	26.419	226.428			
P- Value	0.182	0.175	0.001	0.283			

ab different superscripts in a column differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 4: Comparison the average relate to feed intake in different experiment groups based on gram

Diets experiment	Average feed intake in different periods (gm)						
	0-11 days	11-24 days	24-42 days	0-42 days			
Control ( without PBPM )	313.75	1279.75	2580.00	4169.01			
5 % PBPM sample A	319.25	1190.33	2473.00	4065.34			
10 % PBPM sample A	305.00	1161.66	2449.75	3933.27			
SEM	6.571	45.032	56.230	89.860			
P- Value	0.283	0.283	0.488	0.320			

Diets experiment average feed conversion ratio in different periods(gram) 0-11 days 11-24 days 24-42 days 0-42 days Control (without PBPM)  $1.97^{b}$ 1.43 1.66 1.72  $1.98^{b}$ 10 % PBPM sample A 1.48 1.84 1.61 1.75 a 5 % PBPM sample B 1.45 1.71 1.73 SEM 0.63 0.62 0.07 0.04 P- Value 0.02 0.08 0.05 0.31

Table 5: Comparison the average relate to feed conversion ratio in different experiment groups based on gram

and 6 % PBPM in diet of broiler chickens as feed source and the results showed that PBPM can be used up to 6% in chicken diet. Kalantar and Fahimi (2005) and Sahraei et al. (2010) concluded that PBPM in diet of broiler chickens 6% of PBPM in the diet can be used. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of two different levels (5 and 10%) of PBPM in feed of broiler chickens on some performance parameters.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Total of 321 broilers were randomly divided into 3 treatments (5 replicates per treatment). Treatments consisted of a control group (without PBPM) and two levels of PBMP with 5 and 10%. Chemical composition of feed items used in this experiment is based on NRC (1994) (Table 1&2). The experiment lasted for 42 days. Body weight, feed intake and feed conversion were determined at different intervals of the experiment. Mean comparison was calculated by SPSS software (version 12.0).

#### **Results and Discussion**

The result of weight gain in table 3 indicated that it was significantly high in birds fed 5% PBMP. There was no significant difference between the control and experimental groups in term of feed intake (Table 2). However, feed conversion ratio was significantly high in birds fed 5% level of PBMP. The obtained results did not match with results of Sahraei et al. (2010) and Azman and Dalkilic (2006) but with the study of Jafari et al. (2010). Sahraei et al. (2010) used levels of 0, 3, 6 and 9 percent of PBPM in broiler chicken diet and the results showed that there was no significant difference between different levels of PBPM on weight gain. Azman and Dalkilic (2006) used 0, 4 and 6% of PBPM at the age of 22 to 35 days in broilers and found no significant difference in weight gain. Jafari et al. (2010) found significant difference in production performance at the level of 0, 5 and 10% of PBPM. The reasons of these differences may be due to commercial strain, different in used levels amount, chemical combination of PBPM and technique of processing. The present results indicated that 5% PBMP could be used as supplement for better production performance.

#### **References**

Azman, M.A. and Dalkilic, B. 2006. The effect of poultry by-product meal on the performance of broiler chicks. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 83: 8 873-875.

Bednar, G.E., Murray, S.M., Patil, A.R., Flickinger, E.A., Merchen, N.R. and Fahey, G.C. 2000. Selected animal and plant protein sources affect nutrient digestibility and fecal characteristics of ileally cannulated dogs. *Archive of Animal Nutrition*, 53: 127- 140.

Bhargava, K.K. and O'Neil, J.B. 1975. Composition and Utilization of Poultry By-Product Meal Hydrolyzed Feather Meal in Broiler Diets. *Poultry Science*, 54: 1511-1518.

Clapper, G.M., Grieshop, C.M., Merchen, N.R., Russett, J.C., Brent, J.L. and Fahey, G.C. 2001. Ileal and total tract nutrient digestibilities and fecal characteristics of dogs as affected by soybean protein inclusions in dry, extruded diets. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79:1523-1532.

Coon, C.N. 2002. Feeding egg-type replacement pullets. In: Commercial chicken meat and egg production. (Bell DD and Weaver W D (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands. Pp: 267-285.

Dale, N., Fancher, B., Zumbado, M. and Villacres, A. 1993. Metabolizable energy content of poultry offal meal. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 2: 40-42.

Da Silva, L., Das, D.F., Ezequiel, J.M., Azevedo, P.S., Barbosa, J.C., Cattelan, J.W., Resende, F.D., Seixas, J.R.C. and Carmo, F.R.G. 1999. In situ degradability of dry matter, organic matter and crude protein of some feeds in crossbred steers. Semina (Londrina). 20(1): 25-30.

De Souza, J.R., Camarao, A.P. and Rego, L.C. 2000. Ruminal degradability of dry matter and crude protein of agro industry, fish and slaughterhouse byproducts in goats. *Brazilian Journal of Veterinary Research and Animal Science*, 37 (2): 212-224.

ab different superscripts in a column differ significantly (P<0.05)

- Dozier, W.A., Dale, N.M. and Dove, C.R. 2003. Nutrient Composition of feed-grade and pet food-grade poultry by product meal. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 12: 526-530.
- FAO. 2011. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- El Boushy, A.R., Van der poel, A.F.B. and Boer, H. 2000. HandBook of poultry Feed from waste. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Hertrampf, J.W. and Piedad-Pascual, F. 2000. Handbook on Ingredients for Aquaculture Feeds. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Hosseinzadeh, M.H., Ebrahimnezhad, Y., Janmohammadi, H., Ahmadzadeh, A.R. and Sarikhan, M. 2010. Poultry by-product meal: Influence on Performance and Egg Quality Traits of Layers. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 12(4): 547-550.
- Ilghami, H., Taghizadeh, A., Janmohammadi, H. and Shoja, J. 2008. *In situ* ruminal dry matter and crude protein degradability of plant and animal derived protein sources in northwest of Iran. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 7(1): 85-88.
- Jafari, M. 2010. Using PBPM in broiler chickens diet based on digestible amino acids. PhD. thesis. Islamic Azad University. Shabestar, Iran.
- Kalantar, M. and Fahimi, A. 2005. The effect of using PBPM in broiler chicken dietary. *Journal Research and Marketing in Animal and Aquatics*, 84: 28-34.
- Kamalak, A., Canbolat, O., Gurbuz, Y. and Ozay, O. 2005. In situ ruminal dry matter and crude protein degradability of plant- and animal-derived protein sources in Southern Turkey. *Small Ruminant Research*, 58: 135-141.
- Kamyab, E. 2006. Guidance of stock and poultry dietary. 3rd (ed.). Publication Hagh shenas.
- Khosravinia, H. and Mohammadzadeh, S. 2006. Effect of substituting fish meal with poultry by product meal on performance of broiler chicken. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 41: 2 150-154.
- Locatelli, M.L. and Hoehler, D. 2003. Poultry byproduct meal: consider protein quality and variability. *Feed Management*, 54: 6-10.

- Martosiswoyo, A.W. and Jensen, L.S. 1998. Effect of formulating diets using differing meat and bone meal energy on broiler performance and abdominal fat content. *Poultry Science*, 67(2): 294-299.
- National Research Council. 1994. Nutrients Requirements of poultry. Ninth Revised edition. National academy press. washangton, D.C.
- Nikkhah, A. and Kazemishirazi, V. 1995. Scientific procedure of hen dietary. 1<sup>st</sup> (ed.). Publication University Tehran.
- Pesti, G.M. 1987. Nutritional value of poultry byproduct meal. Recent advances in animal nutrition in Australia. Pp: 176-181.
- Porreza, G., Sadeghi, G.H. and Mehri, M. 2008. Hen feed. 1<sup>st</sup> (ed.) Publication Arkan danesh.
- Raja, K.M., Reddy, P.S. Reddy, P.V.V.P. 2001. Influence of poultry by product meal on the productive performance of layers. *Indian Journal of Poultry Science*, 36(3): 297-299.
- Samli, E.H., senkoylu, N., Ozduven, L., Akyurek, H. and Amgma, A. 2006. Effect of poultry by-product meal on laying Performance, Egg Quality and Storage Stability. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 5(1): 06-09.
- Sahraei, M., Ghanbari, A. and Lotfollahian, H. 2010. The study of effect of PBPM Ardabil providence in diet on performance, carcass traits and blood uric acid in broiler chickens. Publication Animal Science Research Institute.
- Sarabian, M. 2005. Diet and feed principles in stock and poultry. 1<sup>st</sup> (ed.). Publication Fakhrdin.
- Watson, H. 2006. Poultry meal vs poultry by-product meal. Dogs in Canada Magazine.
- Webster, A.B., Fletcher, D.L. and Savage, S.I. 1996. Feather removal from spent hens up to 24 h postmortem. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 5: 337–346.
- Yamka, R.M. 2003. Evaluation of low oligosaccharide and low-oligosaccharide low phytate whole soybeans and soybean meal in canine foods. PhD Dissertation. University of Kentucky, Lexington.