

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* isolated from free range birds in Abia State, Nigeria

*Nwiyi, P and Erumaka, G

Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria

Abstract

Escherichia coli is a major pathogen of worldwide importance in commercially produced poultry, contributing significantly to the economic losses in chickens, turkey and ducks. This study was conducted between September 2011 and February 2012 at 6 different farm sites located within 3 senatorial zones of Abia state, Nigeria to evaluate the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *E. coli* isolated from free ranged birds. Out of 127 samples (81.4%) of *E. coli* were isolated while in 28 samples (18.6%) no *E. coli* growth was observed. The isolates were screened for antimicrobial susceptibility using 8 commercially available antibiotics by the disc diffusion method. Isolates from cockerels recorded 90, 80 and 70% sensitivity to gentamycin, kenamycin and ciprofloxacin respectively. The least sensitive antibiotics were tetracycline, nalidixic acid and cotrimoxazole, presenting 0.5, 4.0 and 10%. Chloramphenicol and ampicillin presented partial sensitivity of 30 and 40% respectively. *E. coli* isolates from local fowl recorded 80, 70 and 60% sensitivity against gentamycin, kenamycin and ciprofloxacin. The least sensitive were tetracycline, nalidixic acid and cotrimoxazole 0.0, 2.0 and 5% respectively. For ducks, the values obtained varied with gentamycin recording 70%, while kenamycin and ciprofloxacin were 65 and 60% respectively. The least sensitive were tetracycline, nalidixic acid and cotrimoxazole 0.0, 2.0 and 6.0% respectively. Ampicillin and chloramphenicol presented 40 and 30%. The pattern of antibiotic sensitivity to this bacterial is clinically important for the poultry industry and should be closely monitored.

Keywords: *E. coli*; anti-microbial sensitivity; cockerels; local fowls; ducks; Nigeria

To cite this article: Nwiyi P and Erumaka G, 2012. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *E.coli* isolated from free range birds in Abia State, Nigeria. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 2(10), 525-528.

Introduction

The level of antimicrobial sensitivity to *E. coli* and other normal intestinal flora of animals and even humans is likely to decrease as a result of excessive exposure to different types of antibiotics (Van den Bogaard et al., 2000). The bacteria *E. coli* are normal flora but excessive amount when present in the chicken cause a disease described as colibacillosis and could be the basis for selective use of antibiotics to avoid prevalence of resistance by such bacteria (Murray, 1992).

Colonization of the intestine by *E. coli* usually occurs before infection and possession of more than one gene could be the factor responsible for occasional resistance (Lipsitch, 2002). Antimicrobial treatment is

given to animals suspected of being infected by *E. coli*. It has been known that such antimicrobial treatment alters the total population of *E. coli* in a given host (Halloran et al., 1991). There are evidences emerging from the use of antimicrobials in humans which may present a selective force for multi-drug resistance in enterobacteriae in some developing countries which may have decrease antibiotic sensitivity in many countries (Kariuki et al., 1996). This view is opposite of what is obtained in the developed countries which suggest that anti-microbial use in agriculture is the major driving force in the selection and dissemination of poor bacterial sensitivity (Apley et al., 1998). Vaccination of birds is known to provide passive immunity to protect their offspring during the first 2

*Corresponding author: Nwiyi, Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria

weeks of age (Malamed et al., 2009). It was reported that genetically modified live vaccine tends to prevent *E. coli* against broiler infections. The aim of the study was to know the level of prevalence of this organism in our local environment, the reason behind the occurrence and the most suitable antibiotics that could be used to control it or reduced it to the barest minimum.

Materials and Methods

This study was carried out in Abia state, Nigeria. Abia state is located in the extreme part of the south-eastern region of Nigeria. The state has 17 different local government areas (LGA). The (LGAs) are grouped into 3 senatorial zones namely: Abia North, Abia South and Abia Central, the state has been notable known for poultry production.

Identification and selection of sampling sites

The study was carried out between September 2011 and February 2012 at 6 selected urban and rural areas spread across the 3 senatorial zones of Abia state. The 6 sites include: Aba south and Ossioma (Abia South senatorial zone), Umuahia south and Ikwuano (Abia central senatorial zone) Ohafia and Bendel (Abia north senatorial zone). Random sampling of the poultry faeces was collected using sterile swab stick (silver Health Diagnostics) sterilized by ethylene oxide. The swab stick was introduced into the vent of each bird and sample collected and fixed back into the swab case. The farms were visited 3 times over a period of 6 months. It was ascertained that no previous antibiotic therapy had been given to the birds, since this would hinder the sensitivity result. Samples were collected from cockerel, local fowl and ducks.

Sample collection, culture and isolation

A total of one hundred and fifty samples were collected via cloaca swab (Ten samples at a time) using sterile swab sticks (Aritee R). The samples were taken to the veterinary microbiology laboratory of Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike for processing. Each faecal swab was streaked directly on MCA and incubated at 37°C overnight for 24hrs as described by Cruickshank et al. (1983). The MCA was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. The colonies that grow on the MCA plates were about 2-4mm in character, opaque and convex at the entire edge. The colonies were subculture into eosin methylene blue (EMB) and incubated overnight 37°C. Marked green metallic sheen isolates were confirmed by specific biochemical tests (Bauer et al., 1974).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: All 127 isolates of *E. coli* were tested against 10 commercial antibiotics. The antimicrobial sensitivity test was performed

according to Bauer Kirby disc diffusion method (Bauer et al., 1966). The streaking technique used was that recommended by the National committee for clinical laboratory standards Guideline (NCCLS, 2002). This was done by streaking the nutrient agar plates surface evenly with the organism and later exposing them to the disc. The disc is already inbuilt with known concentration of antibiotic substances available 8 commercial antibiotics were used via disc diffusion method and this includes: Ampicillin, Kanamycin, Gentamycin, Chloram-phenicol, Ciprofloxacin, Nalidixic acid, Tetracycline and Cotrimoxazole. The sensitivity data were recorded by measuring the diameter using meter rule in millimeter. The zones of inhibition for each isolate were interpreted as sensitive, or resistant based on the size of the diameter. For the purpose of this study, the isolates with intermediate sensitivity were grouped as resistance.

Results

Antimicrobial sensitivity of *E. coli* isolates from cockerels. *E. coli* isolates from cockerels (Fig. 1) recorded 90% and 80% sensitivity to gentamycin and kenamycin, while ciprofloxacin has 70% sensitivity. Furthermore, nalidixic acid, tetracycline and cotrimoxazole recorded 0.5, 2.0 and 5.0% sensitivity respectively.




Fig 1: Antimicrobial sensitivity frequencies of *E. coli* isolates from cockerel

Amp; Ampicillin, Gen; Gentamycin; Ken; Kenamycin; Cip; ciprofloxacin, Chlo; chlorophenicol, Nal; Nalidixic acid, Tetr; Tetracycline; Co, Cotrimoxazole.

Anti-microbial sensitivity of *E. coli* isolates from local fowl

The mean percentage of *E. coli* strain isolated from local fowls as shown in Fig. 2. Gentamycin, kanamycin and ciprofloxacin presented 80, 70 and 60% sensitivity while tetracycline, nalidixic acid and cotrimoxazole recorded 0.5, 2.0 and 5.0% sensitivity respectively.




Fig. 2: Antimicrobial sensitivity frequencies of *E. coli* from local fowls.

Amp; Ampicillin, Ken; Kenamycin; chlo; Chloramphenicol; Cip, Ciprofloxacin; Nal; Nalidixic acid; Tetr; Tetracycline; Co; Cotrimoxazole.




Fig. 3: Anti-microbial sensitivity frequencies of ducks.

Amp, ampicillin, Ken, Kenamycin, Chlo, Chloromphenicol, Cip, Ciprofloxacin, nal, Nalidixic acid, Tetra, tetracycline, Co, Cotrimoxazole.

Table 1: Faecal samples from different free ranged birds

Bird type	Number of sample	Sample %
Cockerels	70	46.7
Local fowls	50	33.3
Ducks	30	20.0
Total	150	100%

Table 2: Number of isolates and their percentages

No of isolates positive	122	81.4%
No of isolates negative	28	18.6%
Total isolate sample	150	100%

Antimicrobial sensitivity of *E. coli* isolates from ducks

Fig. 3 shows that ducks yielded *E. coli* organism that were highly sensitive was record against nalidixic acid.

Discussion

Antibiotic usage is one of the most important factors that promotes the emergence, selection and spread of antibiotic resistant microorganisms in livestock. In poultry flocks, overdosage of antibiotics as well as use of antibiotics as growth promoters in feed may result in high antibiotic selection pressure. From this study, gentamycin is the most sensitive of all the antibiotics. This result is supported by the findings of Salehi et al. (2006). The antibiotic sensitivity of kenamycin and enrofloxacin obtained in this study is in agreement with the study reported by Tabatabaei et al. (2003) who found that sensitivity of drug is due to less use in the farms. Furthermore, there are difficulties in poultry farmers using gentamycin for treatment in Abia State, Nigeria. This is the result of availability of the drug only in injection form and this could be stressful to the farmer and the birds hence most farmers resort to using the other range of antibiotic like enrofloxacin which is equally effective. The efficacy of the fluoroquinolones group enrofloxacin in the treatment of local fowl, cockerels and duck has also been reported in broilers (Chansiripornchai et al., 2004; Chansiripornchai, 2009). Local fowls and ducks generally scarcely receive the attention of veterinarians; they do maintain close contact via various ways with organisms originating from other important host in their environment such as humans and exotic chicken that had been previously exposed to various antibiotics. A good example is the commercial town of Aba and Umuahia in south-eastern Nigeria where indiscriminate urination and defecation and other unhygienic methods of excreta disposal create avenues for these free ranged chickens to be exposed to *E. coli* infection during feeding. Furthermore, the need to make use of antibiotic sensitive to this organism is very important as shown in this work. This is in agreement with the report from Samore et al. (2002) who stated that selective use of antibiotics in other hosts in the same environment is very necessary.

Conclusion

The study showed that *E. coli* was isolated in larger numbers in cockerels than local fowl and ducks. The numbers of positive isolates were higher than negative. Of all the antibiotics used for sensitivity test, gentamycin was found to be more sensitive to *E. coli* than others.

References

Bauer, W.H., Kirby, W.M., Sharies, J.C. and Turik, M. 1960. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a

- standardized single dose method. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 45: 493-496.
- Bauer, W.H., Daris, B.R. and Martin, W.J. 1974. Biochemical characterization of *Escherichia coli*. US Department of Health, Education and welfare. Public Health Service, CDC Atlanta Georgia.
- Cruickshank, R., Duguid, J. and Marmion, B. 1983. *Microbiology*. 12th (Ed.) Vol. 2: Edinburgh. Churchill Livingstone.
- Halloran, M.E. and Struchiner, C.J. 1991. Study designs for dependent happening. *Epidemiology*, 12: 331-338.
- Heller, E. Leitner, G. Melamed, D. 1991. A vaccine against avian colibacillosis based on ultrasonic inactivation of *Escherichia coli*. *Avian Disease*, 35(1): 17-22.
- Murray, B.E. 1992. Problems and Dilemmas of antimicrobial resistance. *Pharmacotherapy*, 12: 865-935.
- Corkill, J. Gilks, C. and Kariuki, S. 1996. Multi-drug resistant non-typhoid salmonella in Kenya. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 38: 425-434.
- Apley, M.D., Brown, S.A. and Fedorka-Cray, P.J. 1998. Role of Veterinary therapeutics in bacterial resistance development: Animal and public health perspectives. *Journal of American Veterinary Medical Association*, 212: 1209-1213.
- Van Den Bogaard, A.E. and Stobberingh, E.E. 2000. Epidemiology of resistance to antibiotics links between animals and Humans. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 14: 327-335.
- Lipstich, M. and Samore, M. 2002. Antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance: A population Perspective. *Emerging Infection Diseases*, 18: 347-354.
- Ncels, 2002. National Committee for clinical laboratory standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. 2nd (Ed.). M31-A2 Wayne, Perinsylvania.
- Tabatabaci, R. and Nasiran, A. 2003. Isolation, identification and antimicrobial resistance pattern of *E. coli* isolated from chicken flocks. *Iran Journal Pharmacology Therapeutics*, 2: 39-42.
- Chansiripornchai, N. and Susipreycayan, J. 2004. "The efficacy of norfloxacin in broiler chickens after experimental infection with *E. coli*. Proceeding of the 30th Thailand veterinary medicine Association, 357-362.
- Salchi, Z.T. and Bonab, S.F. 2006. "Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from chickens with colisepticemia in Tabriz province. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 5: 677-684.
- Chansiripornchai, N. 2009. Comparative efficacy of enrofloxacin and oxytetracycline for different administration times in broilers after experimental infection with avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Thailand Journal Veterinary Medicine* 39(3): 231-236.
- Rawivet, V. and Chansiripornchai, N. 2009. The efficacy of *Escherichia coli* aro A-live vaccine in broilers against avian *E.coli* serotype 078 infection. *Thailand Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 39(4). 337-342.