

**Research article****Effect of sorghum varieties on bioeconomic performance of broiler in Niger**Nouri Brah<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, M. Frédéric Houndonougbo<sup>1</sup> and Salissou Issa<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ecole des Sciences et Techniques de Production Animale, Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin, BP: 03 BP 256 Cotonou, Bénin; <sup>2</sup>Département Production Animale, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique du Niger, BP: 429 Niamey, Niger

**Article history**

Received: 2 June, 2017

Revised: 5 Sep, 2017

Accepted: 14 Sep, 2017

**Abstract**

The effect of sorghum varieties on bioeconomic performances of broiler has been evaluated in Cobb 500 chicks. The chicks were randomly divided into five treatments and four replications (per treatments) of 18 chicks each. Five dietary treatments based on Mota Maradi, IRAT 204, Janjaré, white Kaoura and yellow Kaoura sorghum varieties. Feed intake and growth performance of broilers were significantly affected ( $P < 0.05$ ) by the varieties of sorghum used in the diet. Broilers fed with Janjaré sorghum treatment had the highest growth performance and those fed with Mota Maradi, the lowest. Feed conversion ratio, feed cost and economic feed efficiency were statistically different at the starter phase ( $P < 0.05$ ), but not at the grower phase and for the overall phases ( $P > 0.05$ ). White Kaoura and Janjaré sorghum varieties were the more efficient. The varieties of sorghum used in broiler diet did not have a statistically significant effect on carcass yield, feathers, empty gizzard and liver yields ( $P > 0.05$ ). It appears that White Kaoura and Janjaré sorghum varieties are more appropriate than the three other varieties in broiler feed.

**Keywords:** Bioeconomic performances; broiler; Niger; sorghum variety

**To cite this article:** Brah N, MF Houndonougbo and S Issa, 2017. Effect of sorghum varieties on bioeconomic performance of broiler in Niger. Res. Opin. Anim. Vet. Sci., 7(2): 42-48.

**Introduction**

*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench is the second cereal produced in Niger after millet (Issa et al., 2010). In terms of nutritive value, cost and availability, sorghum grain may be the alternative to maize in poultry feeding (Etuk et al., 2012), but tannin content limits the use of sorghum grain in poultry feeding. Tannin affects the digestibility of feeds and limit the activities of some enzymes and microorganisms by forming complexes with nutrients and prevent their dissolution in the digestion system (Imik, 2009), which reduced feed intake, weight gain and feed conversion efficiency (kumar et al., 2005). Some tests of substitution in West

Africa (Kwari et al., 2012; Diaw et al., 2014; Issa et al., 2015) have revealed the good potential of low tannin sorghum to replace maize in broiler diets.

However, tannin content is not the only one parameter that influences nutritive value of sorghum grain. Variety, climate, soils (Ebadi et al., 2005), proportion of kafirin (Sonia et al., 2015), physical structure (Kim et al., 2000) also influence the chemical composition and nutritive value of sorghum. Kaijage et al. (2014) found that the chemical composition of 12 sorghum varieties expressed in percentage of dry matter gave 10.4 to 12.7% of crude protein, 2.66 to 4.05% of ether extract, 1.79 to 6.43% of ash and 1.14 to 2.18% of tannin. Metabolisable energy varied from 12.7 to 13.8

**\*Corresponding author:** Nouri Brah, Ecole des Sciences et Techniques de Production Animale, Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin, BP: 03 BP 256 Cotonou, Bénin; E-mail: brahnouri@yahoo.fr; Tel: + 227 90 99 90 66

MJ/kg of dry matter. It appears that the content of crude protein, crude fiber, ether extract, ash and tannin had an effect on metabolisable energy of sorghum grain (Sedghi et al., 2011), while variation in metabolisable energy of feed affect broiler performance (Lee and Leson, 2001).

In Niger, several varieties and hybrids of sorghum are produced (Abdoulaye et al., 2006). It is therefore crucial to evaluate the effect of these varieties on bioeconomic performance of broiler.

## Materials and Methods

### Ingredients and diets

Sorghum varieties used in poultry feeding by farmers were identified through an investigation. After that, Mota Maradi (MM), IRAT 204 (IRAT), Janjaré (JJ), White Kaoura (WK) and Yellow Kaoura (YK) varieties were selected. IRAT 204 is an improved variety, while others are local varieties. So MM, IRAT, JJ, WK and YK feeds were formulated corresponding to the diets containing Mota Maradi, IRAT 204, Janjaré, White Kaoura and Yellow Kaoura varieties respectively. All of the five sorghums varieties were incorporated at the same ratio in the diets. Formulation has been done according to the nutritional recommendation of National Research Council (NRC) (1994). The ingredients composition and nutritive value of starter and grower diet were reported in Table 1.

### Animals, housing and design

The experimentation was conducted at the poultry house of the Faculty of Agronomy in Niamey, Republic of Niger. A total of three hundred and sixty (360) day old broilers (Cobb 500), weighting  $42 \pm 2$ g were used for a total of 42 days experimental period. Birds were randomly allotted in 20 pens of 3 m x 0.9 m with 18 chicks each. Temperature and hygrometry varied respectively from 25 to 38°C and from 20 to 67%. Chicks were vaccinated against Newcastle and Gumboro diseases and prevented against coccidiosis. Anti-stress was giving during vaccination and weighting period. The five dietary treatments (MM, IRAT, JJ, WK and YK) were randomly distributed in the 20 pens with 4 replications per treatment.

### Laboratory analyses

Raw materials were analyzed in the "Laboratoire d'Alimentation et de Nutrition Animale (LANA)" of "Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique du Niger (INRAN)" for dry matter (DM), Ash, crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and crude fiber (CF). Contents of DM and ash samples were determined by the standard method of Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 1995). Crude protein (CP) was determined according to the Kjeldhal ( $N \times 6.25$ ) method and ether extract (EE) by the method of petroleum ether extraction using Soxhlet machine. The crude fat (CF) content has been determined by the method of (A.O.A.C., 1990).

**Table 1: Ingredients and nutritional compositions of broiler diets used**

| Ingredients (%)                    | Starter diet* |        |        |        |        | Grower diet* |        |        |        |        |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                    | MM            | IRAT   | JJ     | WK     | YK     | MM           | IRAT   | JJ     | WK     | YK     |
| Sorghum                            | 59.40         | 59.40  | 59.40  | 59.40  | 59.40  | 63.00        | 63.00  | 63.00  | 63.00  | 63.00  |
| Wheat bran                         | 8.00          | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.00   | 8.50         | 8.50   | 8.50   | 8.50   | 8.50   |
| Peanut meal                        | 12.00         | 12.00  | 12.00  | 12.00  | 12.00  | 11.00        | 11.00  | 11.00  | 11.00  | 11.00  |
| Fish meal                          | 14.00         | 14.00  | 14.00  | 14.00  | 14.00  | 11.55        | 11.55  | 11.55  | 11.55  | 11.55  |
| Methionine                         | 0.15          | 0.15   | 0.15   | 0.15   | 0.15   | 0.10         | 0.10   | 0.10   | 0.10   | 0.10   |
| Lysine HCl                         | 0.20          | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.10         | 0.10   | 0.10   | 0.10   | 0.10   |
| Bone meal                          | 4.50          | 4.50   | 4.50   | 4.50   | 4.50   | 4.00         | 4.00   | 4.00   | 4.00   | 4.00   |
| Peanut oil <sup>1</sup>            | 1.00          | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00         | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   |
| Salt                               | 0.50          | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50         | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   |
| Premix <sup>2</sup>                | 0.25          | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25         | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.25   |
| TOTAL                              | 100.00        | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00       | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Calculated nutritional composition |               |        |        |        |        |              |        |        |        |        |
| ME (Kcal/kg MS) <sup>3</sup>       | 2814          | 2815   | 2830   | 2850   | 2827   | 2837         | 2839   | 2854   | 2826   | 2875   |
| Crude fiber (%)                    | 4.84          | 4.10   | 4.10   | 4.25   | 4.63   | 4.83         | 4.02   | 3.97   | 4.20   | 4.64   |
| Crude protein (%)                  | 22.17         | 22.66  | 23.15  | 23.42  | 22.36  | 19.99        | 20.50  | 21.02  | 21.31  | 20.16  |
| Lysine (%)                         | 1.23          | 1.23   | 1.23   | 1.23   | 1.23   | 1.02         | 1.02   | 1.02   | 1.02   | 1.02   |
| Methionine (%)                     | 0.60          | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.50         | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   | 0.50   |
| Calcium (Ca) (%)                   | 1.64          | 1.64   | 1.64   | 1.64   | 1.64   | 1.44         | 1.44   | 1.44   | 1.44   | 1.44   |
| NPP (%) <sup>4</sup>               | 0.60          | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.60   | 0.47         | 0.47   | 0.47   | 0.47   | 0.47   |
| Ca/NPP                             | 2.73          | 2.73   | 2.73   | 2.73   | 2.73   | 3.08         | 3.08   | 3.08   | 3.08   | 3.08   |

(\*) Diets who contain Mota Maradi (MM), IRAT 204 (IRAT), Janjaré (JJ), White Kaoura (WK) and Yellow Kaoura (YK) sorghum varieties. (1) Non refined peanut oil. (2) Premix containing per Kg : 220 mg of Mg; 220 mg of Zn; 110 mg of Fe; 248 mg of Cu; 33 mg of I; 77,105 IU of Vit A; 27,538 IU of Vit D; 165 IU of Vit E; 0,11 mg of Vit B12; 8 mg of menadion; 66 mg of riboflavin; 11 mg of thiamin; 66 mg of pantothenic acid ; 275 mg of niacin; 14 mg of Vit B6; 7 mg of folic acid; 3,855 of choline; and 0,33 mg of biotin. (3) Metabolisable energy in kilocalorie per kilogram of dry matter. (4) Non Pythic Phosphorus.

### Calculation of bioeconomics variables and statistical analyses

Feed offered and refusals were recorded daily per pen. Live body weight was collected on day 1, 21 and 42 days old. The cost (in the local markets) of the different feed ingredients and the chicks live weight price were used to determine the economic parameters. The following variables including daily feed intake, daily weight gain, feed conversion ratio, feeding cost (FC) and economic feed efficiency (EFE) were determined (Houndonougou et al. (2009a).

$$FC = \frac{FI * FP}{WG}$$

And

$$EFE = \frac{WG \times WGC}{FI \times FP}$$

FI: Feed Intake (kg); FP: Feed Price (FCFA/kg); WG: Weight Gain (kg); WGC: Weight Gain Cost (FCFA/kg);

Carcass characteristics were evaluated using 20 chickens per diet. Carcass weight, carcass yield, feathers yield, head and leg yield, empty gizzard yield and liver yield were determined.

The Statistical analysis of variables was performed in R software version 3.4 (R, 2016) via ANOVA, using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedures. The model used was:

$$Y_i = \mu + A_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ with:}$$

$Y_i$  = dependent variable observed;

$\mu$  = general mean;

$A_i$  = fixe effect of diet;

$\varepsilon_i$  = residual error.

The mean of variables were showed in tables with standard error (SE) and probability (P). The effect of sorghum varieties is stated significant if  $P < 0.05$ .

## Results

### Chemical composition

Chemical composition of the five sorghum varieties and calculated metabolisable energy were reported in Table 2. The content of dry matter (DM) varied from 91 to 94.16%. White Kaoura sorghum variety has the highest CP content (13.03%), followed by Janjaré. Mota Maradi variety showed the lower CP content (10.93%). For CF, Janjaré, IRAT 204 and White Kaoura sorghum varieties had the lower rate (2.55 to 2.90%), whereas Mota Maradi and Yellow Kaoura had the higher CF (3.90 and 3.54%). The percentage of EE of varieties varied from 2.15 to 4.25, where IRAT 204 variety had the lowest and White Kaoura had the highest rate (Table 2). IRAT 204 showed more ashes (2.9%) than Janjaré (0.20%), Yellow Kaoura (0.30%), Mota Maradi (0.31%) and White Kaoura (1.23%). The content of nitrogen free

extract (NFE) of IRAT 204 sorghum variety was the highest and White Kaoura the lowest. Mota Maradi, Janjaré and Yellow Kaoura had similar NFE content. The concentration of metabolisable energy (ME) varied from 3230 to 3290 kcal/kg. White Kaoura variety contained more ME followed by Janjaré. Mota Maradi contained less ME (Table 2).

### Feed intake

The varieties of sorghum used in diet significantly influenced broiler feed intake ( $P = 0.001$ ) at starter phase. Broilers consumed more diet containing Janjaré sorghum variety and less Mota Maradi sorghum variety. However, the difference of feed intake was not statistically significant for broilers fed with diets containing IRAT 204, Janjaré and White Kaoura sorghum varieties (Table 3). At grower phase, feed intake of sorghum was not statistically significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) in broiler. The MM based diet was less consumed by broilers comparatively to the others diets.

For the overall experimental phase (42 days), feed intake was statistically influenced ( $P < 0.05$ ) by the sorghum varieties used in the diet. Broiler fed with JJ had the highest average daily feed intake (84 g/d). They consumed more than those fed with MM, Yellow Kaoura (YK), IRAT and WK based diet respectively.

### Growth performance

The live body weight (LBW) of broiler was reported in Table 4. The difference of initial broiler LBW was statistically non-significant ( $P = 0.774$ ). But at the end of starter phase, the LBW is significantly affected by the sorghum varieties ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, this difference was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) for broiler fed with IRAT, WK and YK treatments (Table 4).

The varieties of sorghum used in diet also significantly influenced ( $P < 0.05$ ) broiler LBW at the end of grower phase. Broilers fed with MM had the lowest final LBW (990 g). They had a growth retardation of about 78, 77, 58 and 53% compared to broiler fed with JJ, WK, IRAT and YK respectively. Broiler LBW was not statistically significant for those fed with IRAT and YK on the one hand, and for those fed with JJ and WK on the other hand (Table 4).

At the starter and grower phases, sorghum variety used in diet affected ( $P < 0.05$ ) broilers average daily weight gain (ADWG). If JJ allowed the higher ADWG in starter phase, WK induced more weight gain in grower phase. However, MM gave the lowest growth performance on broiler in both starter and grower phases (Table 5).

Broiler ADWG was about 38 g/d during the 42 experimental days. It was influenced by the sorghum varieties in diet ( $P < 0.05$ ). JJ had induced the better ADWG which was about 49, 16, 13 and 2% higher compared to the ADWG induced by MM, YK, IRAT and WK respectively.

**Table 2: Nutritional value of sorghum varieties used**

| Varieties     | DM (%) | CP (%) | CF (%) | EE (%) | Ash (%) | NFE (%) | ME (kcal/kgDM) |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|----------------|
| Mota Maradi   | 91.00  | 10.93  | 3.90   | 3.22   | 1.98    | 79.97   | 3230           |
| IRAT 204      | 91.33  | 11.75  | 2.62   | 2.15   | 2.29    | 81.19   | 3232           |
| Janjaré       | 91.04  | 12.58  | 2.55   | 3.44   | 2.09    | 79.34   | 3256           |
| Yellow Kaoura | 92.88  | 11.24  | 3.54   | 3.95   | 1.99    | 79.28   | 3252           |
| White Kaoura  | 94.16  | 13.03  | 2.90   | 4.25   | 1.06    | 78.76   | 3290           |

DM: Dry Matter; CP: Crude Protein; CF: Crude Fiber; EE: Ether Extract; NFE: Nitrogen Free Extract; ME: Metabolisable Energy: ME (Kcal/kg) =  $21.98 \times CP + 54.75 \times EE + 35.18 \times NFE$ , Janssen (1989) cited by NRC (1994).

**Table 3: Daily feed intake (g/d) of broilers fed with diet containing different variety of sorghum**

| Phases  | MM              | IRAT            | JJ              | WK              | YK              | SE    | P     |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Starter | 37 <sup>c</sup> | 51 <sup>a</sup> | 53 <sup>a</sup> | 52 <sup>a</sup> | 46 <sup>b</sup> | 2,77  | 0.001 |
| Grower  | 69              | 107             | 116             | 111             | 103             | 21,49 | 0.055 |
| Overall | 53 <sup>b</sup> | 79 <sup>a</sup> | 84 <sup>a</sup> | 82 <sup>a</sup> | 75 <sup>a</sup> | 11,88 | 0.010 |

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table 4: Effect of sorghum varieties on broilers live body weight (g) at the end of each rearing phase**

| Phases         | MM               | IRAT              | JJ                | WK                | YK                | SE    | P     |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|
| Initial BW     | 41               | 41                | 42                | 41                | 41                | 1.62  | 0.774 |
| Starter end BW | 436 <sup>c</sup> | 657 <sup>b</sup>  | 811 <sup>a</sup>  | 734 <sup>ab</sup> | 644 <sup>b</sup>  | 74.75 | 0.001 |
| Grower end BW  | 990 <sup>c</sup> | 1567 <sup>b</sup> | 1765 <sup>a</sup> | 1749 <sup>a</sup> | 1518 <sup>b</sup> | 87.75 | 0.000 |

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table 5: Effect of sorghum varieties on broiler Average Daily Weight Gain (ADWG) (g/d)**

| Phases  | MM              | IRAT             | JJ               | WK               | YK              | SE   | P     |
|---------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| Starter | 20 <sup>c</sup> | 44 <sup>a</sup>  | 45 <sup>a</sup>  | 39 <sup>ab</sup> | 33 <sup>b</sup> | 4.85 | 0.001 |
| Grower  | 26 <sup>c</sup> | 44 <sup>ab</sup> | 46 <sup>ab</sup> | 49 <sup>a</sup>  | 42 <sup>b</sup> | 2.79 | 0.000 |
| Overall | 23 <sup>c</sup> | 39 <sup>b</sup>  | 45 <sup>a</sup>  | 44 <sup>a</sup>  | 38 <sup>b</sup> | 2.54 | 0.000 |

<sup>a,b,c</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table 6: Effect of sorghum varieties on feed conversion ratio (FCR: kg feed/kg body weight gain) of broiler**

| Phases  | MM                | IRAT              | JJ                | WK                | YK                | SE   | P     |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|-------|
| Starter | 1.87 <sup>a</sup> | 1.50 <sup>b</sup> | 1.22 <sup>b</sup> | 1.33 <sup>b</sup> | 1.38 <sup>b</sup> | 0.18 | 0.010 |
| Grower  | 2.64              | 2.46              | 2.51              | 2.30              | 2.48              | 0.39 | 0.822 |
| Overall | 2.25              | 1.98              | 1.86              | 1.82              | 1.93              | 0.27 | 0.233 |

<sup>a,b</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table 7: Effect of sorghum varieties on feeding cost (FC: FCFA<sup>1</sup> feed/Kg weigh gain) of broiler**

| Phases  | MM               | IRAT              | JJ               | WK               | YK               | SE  | P     |
|---------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----|-------|
| Starter | 606 <sup>a</sup> | 485 <sup>ab</sup> | 396 <sup>b</sup> | 434 <sup>b</sup> | 449 <sup>b</sup> | 61  | 0.002 |
| Grower  | 790              | 736               | 750              | 689              | 741              | 118 | 0.821 |
| Overall | 698              | 610               | 573              | 561              | 595              | 83  | 0.204 |

<sup>a,b</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ). <sup>1</sup>FCFA: Republic of Niger currency: 1€ = 655.96 FCA at 2016-10-22.

**Table 8: Effect of sorghum varieties of on economic feed efficiency (EFE: FCFA weight gain/FCFA feed) of broiler**

| Phases  | MM                | IRAT               | JJ                | WK                 | YK                 | SE   | P     |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|-------|
| Starter | 1.67 <sup>b</sup> | 2.06 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.71 <sup>a</sup> | 2.32 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.24 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.43 | 0.047 |
| Grower  | 1.27              | 1.36               | 1.44              | 1.46               | 1.35               | 0.20 | 0.707 |
| Overall | 1.47              | 1.71               | 2.07              | 1.89               | 1.79               | 0.30 | 0.122 |

<sup>a,b</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

**Table 9: Effect of sorghums varieties on broiler carcass characteristics**

| Parameters              | MM                | IRAT               | JJ                | WK                | YK                 | SE     | P     |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Carcass weight (g)      | 1138 <sup>b</sup> | 1699 <sup>ab</sup> | 1801 <sup>a</sup> | 1909 <sup>a</sup> | 1667 <sup>ab</sup> | 162.21 | 0.012 |
| Carcass yield (%)       | 71.37             | 74.23              | 75.25             | 75.63             | 73.08              | 16.22  | 0.079 |
| Feather yield (%)       | 4.96              | 5.20               | 4.93              | 5.61              | 5.39               | 0.81   | 0.731 |
| Head and leg yield (%)  | 6.65              | 6.83               | 6.38              | 6.02              | 6.81               | 0.61   | 0.333 |
| Empty gizzard yield (%) | 1.62              | 2.00               | 1.71              | 1.59              | 1.76               | 0.29   | 0.324 |
| Liver yield (%)         | 1.98              | 1.90               | 2.23              | 1.85              | 2.34               | 0.34   | 0.215 |

<sup>a,b</sup> Means with unlike superscripts in the same row differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### Feed efficacy and efficiency

For the 42 days, FCR of broiler was not statistically influenced ( $P>0.05$ ) by the sorghum variety in diet. Nevertheless, broiler fed with WK had the lowest and those fed with MM had the highest FCR. During the starter phase, FCR was significantly affected ( $P<0.05$ ) by the sorghum varieties in broiler diet. JJ sorghum produced the lowest FCR at that phase (Table 6).

Broiler feeding cost in MM diet was more expensive at starter phase ( $P = 0.002$ ) compared to those in IRAT, JJ, WK and YK diets (Table 7). But, at grower phase and for the overall phases, feeding cost (FC) of broiler was not significantly influenced ( $P>0.05$ ) by the sorghum varieties used in diet. For the 42 trial days, the average cost of feed to produce 1 kg of live weight was 607 FCFA.

Economic feed efficiency (EFE) was also statistically influenced ( $P<0.05$ ) by sorghum varieties in broiler diet at starter phase (Table 8). However, EFE was not statistically influenced by sorghum varieties ( $P>0.05$ ) at grower phase and for the overall experiment. JJ and WK allowed the best financial gains and MM diet produced the lowest one. In general, investment of 1 FCFA in feeding generated 1.79 FCFA by selling live broiler.

### Carcass characteristics

The carcass weight was significantly affected by the sorghum varieties used in broiler diet ( $P<0.05$ ). In general, broiler fed with MM diet presented the lowest carcass and giblets (feather, head and leg, empty gizzard and liver) yields with no statistical difference ( $P>0.05$ ) compared to the broilers fed with others feeds (Table 9).

## Discussion

### Chemical composition of sorghum varieties

The varieties of sorghum used have been characterized by variations in their chemical compositions. Indeed, the genetic factors (Gelata et al. 2013), climate, soils (Ebadi et al. 2005) are mentioned as factors that influence the chemical composition of sorghum varieties. These variations influence consequently the content in crude protein, crude fiber and metabolisable energy of diets formulated. The chemicals compositions and concentration of metabolisable energy obtained in this study are in agreement with those reported by Sedghi et al. (2011) on chemical composition of 36 varieties of sorghum and by Kaijage et al. (2014) on 12 varieties of sorghum.

### Feed intake

The contents in crude protein, lysine and methionine of diets formulated are in agreement with

the nutritional recommendation of National Research Council (1994) for broilers. Also, crude fiber did not exceed 5%. However, MM was less consumed diet for all experimental phases. This variety could have more starch and antinutritional factors than other sorghums. Indeed, starch structure influences broiler feed intake from 9 to 18 day old (Weurding et al. 2003), because non-waxy starch decreased broiler feed intake (Sonia et al. 2015). Antinutritional factors reduce the palatability of diet and depress broiler feed intake (Ferket and Gernat, 2006). Average daily feed intake (47g/d) of broiler in this experiment is superior to reported by Issa et al. (2010) in Niger on broiler Arbor Acre strain from 1 to 42 days in maize replacement by two varieties of sorghum (47 g/d). This could be related to the broiler strain, because one of the varieties used by these authors was IRAT 204.

### Growth performance

The varieties of sorghum used in diet influenced broiler performances where, those fed with MM presented the lower performance. Torres et al. (2013) observed the same tendency while using two varieties of sorghum to replace maize in broiler diet. The growth performances of broilers are influenced by chemical composition and physical property of sorghum grain (Kim et al., 2000). Also, the nutritive value of sorghum grain is affected by starch structure, protein (Salinas et al., 2006), proportion of kafirin and antinutritional factors (Sonia et al., 2015). The starch digestibility depends on wax or non-wax of grains of sorghum (Wang et al., 2009). Starch from waxy sorghum was more rapidly digested than non-waxy sorghum (Kim et al., 2000). Kafirin, a part of protein, influences the nutritive value of sorghum grain (Sonia et al., 2015). The height concentration of kafirin in sorghum grain induces a low digestibility of protein, metabolisable energy (Salinas et al., 2006) and amino acids such as lysine (Sonia et al., 2015). But also starch protein interaction affects the nutritive value of sorghum grain. A low starch digestibility is associated with a high protein digestibility, which favors higher broiler growth (Weurding et al., 2003). The antinutritional factors also act on the nutritional quality of the variety. Protein phytate interaction decreases arginine, proline and lysine availability (Sonia et al., 2015). The tannin has the capacity to reduce metabolisable energy (Sedghi et al., 2011) and amino acids digestibility (Duoda et al., 2003; Ebadi et al., 2005), which accordingly reduces poultry growth (kumar et al., 2005).

Final body weight, in this study, is superior to that reported by Diaw et al. (2014) and Issa et al. (2015) in Cobb 500 and Arbor acre broiler in gradual substitution of maize by sorghum in broiler diet.



### Feed efficacy and efficiency

Feed efficacy was affected in starter phase, but not in grower phase with age due to the antinutritional factors tolerance by broiler with age and the phenomenon of the compensatory growth after nutritional stress. Indeed, Lee and Leeson (2001) observed that diet or nutriment reduction provokes a weight gain reduction, but broiler growth accelerates after the period of restriction especially from 35 to 49 days old. Feed conversion ratio of broiler is lower than the one observed by Kwari et al. (2012) in gradual replacement of maize by sorghum in broiler diet. The feeding cost recorded is higher and the economic feed efficiency is lower than those reported by Houndonougbo et al. (2009b) in broiler using two varieties of maize probably, due to the price of feed. The local sorghum varieties of Janjaré and White Kaoura of Niger are better valorized than the IRAT 204 improved variety by broilers contrary to the result of Diaw et al. (2014) in which, local sorghum was badly valorized by broiler in Senegal.

### Carcass Characteristics

The influence of the sorghum variety used in diet on broilers body weight generated a significant effect on the carcass weight. The carcass yield has not been influenced by the sorghum variety (Torres et al., 2013) because broiler which had higher body weight developed more giblet weight. The broiler carcass yield is slightly different than reported by Diaw et al. (2014) with the same broiler strain. Broiler empty gizzard and liver yields are inferior than those reported by Issa et al. (2010), but similar to those observed by Ahmed et al. (2013) in substitution of maize with low tannin sorghum in broiler diet.

### Conclusion

The sorghum variety used in diet significantly influenced broiler feed intake and growth performance. It affected carcass weight. However, sorghum variety had a significant effect on feed conversion ratio, feeding cost and economic feed efficiency for starter phase only. Diet containing Mota Maradi sorghum variety (MM) caused a lower growth performance. All other varieties produced similar results.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the financial support of West African Agricultural Productivity Program (WAAPP- PPAO Niger), the Abomey Calavi University (UAC) of Benin, the National Institute for Agriculture Research of Niger (INRAN), and the Faculty of Agriculture Niamey, Niger.

### References

- Abdoulaye T, Sanders J, Ouendeba B (2006) Quelle céréale pour les aliments de volaille en Afrique de l'Ouest: Sorgho ou Maïs ? Bulletin N°4 Project Marketing-Processing, Mars 2006, INTSORMIL, USAID/ West Africa, Niamey Niger, 24p.
- Ahmed AM, Dousa MB, Abdel Atti AK (2013) Effect of substituting yellow maize for sorghum on broiler performance. *J. World's Poult. Res.* 3(1): 13-17.
- AOAC (1990) Official methods of Analysis. 15th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC.
- Diaw MT, Diop MT, Dieng A, Yoda GM-L, Cissé N, Nassim M (2014): Effect of corn substitution by sorghum grain with low tannin content on broilers production: Animal performance, nutrient digestibility and carcass characteristics. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 13(10): 568-574.
- Ebadi RM, Pourreza J, Jamalain J, Edriss AM, Samie HA, Mirhadi AS (2005) Amino acid content and availability in low, medium and high tannin sorghum grain for poultry. *Int J Poult Sci* 4: 27-31.
- Etuk EB, Ifeduba AV, Okata UE, Chiaka I, Okoli, Ifeanyi C, Okeudo NJ, Esonu BO, Udedibie ABI, Moreki JC (2012) Nutrient composition and feeding value of sorghum for livestock and poultry: a Review. *J Anim Sci Adv* 2(6): 510-524
- Ferket RP, Gernat GA (2006) Factor that affect feed intake of meat birds: A review. *Int. J. Poult. Sci* 5(10): 905-911.
- Houndonougbo MF, Chwalibog A, Chrysostome CAAM (2009a) Effect of commercial diets quality on bio-economic performances of broilers in Benin. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 41: 693-703
- Houndonougbo MF, Chwalibog A, Chrysostome CAAM (2009b) Is the nutritional of grains in broiler chickens' diets affected by environmental factors of soybean (*Glycine max*) growing and the variety of maize (*Zea mays*) in Benin? *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 21(2): Article 22. Retrieved April 30, 2017, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/2/houn21022.htm>
- Imik H (2009) Effects of Different Proportions of Sorghum (*Sorghum vulgare*) and Methionine Additions in the Rations on Laying Performance and Egg Quality Properties in Hens. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 8(2): 397-402.
- Issa S, Jarial S, Brah N, Harouna L, Soumana I (2015) Use of sorghum on stepwise substitution of maize in broiler feeds in Niger; *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 27(10) Article 212. Retrieved April 30, 2017, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd27/10/issa27212.html>
- Issa S, Hancock DJ, Tuinstra RM, Brah N, Hassane A, Kapran I, Kaka S (2010) Le sorgho, un bon

- substitut du maïs dans les rations des poulets de chair au Niger. *Communications en Aviculture Familiale*: 19(1): 16 -22.
- Kaijage TJ, Mutayoba KS, Katule A (2014) Chemical composition and nutritive value of Tanzanian grain sorghum varieties; *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 26Article #177. Retrieved April 30, 2017, <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd26/10/kaij26177.htm>
- Kim HI, Cao H, Hancock DJ, Park SJ, Li FD (2000) Effect of processing and genetics of the nutritional value of sorghum in chicks and pig: Review. *Asian-Aus. J. Anim. Sci.* 13(9): 1337-1344.
- Kumar V, Elangovan AV, Mandal AB (2005) Utilization of reconstituted high-tannin sorghum in the diets of broiler chickens. *Asian-Aus. J. Anim. Sci.* 18(4): 538-544.
- Kwari ID, Diarra SS, Igwebuike UJ, Nkama I, Issa S, Hamaker RB, Hancock DJ, Jauro M, Seriki AO, Murphy I (2012) Replacement value of low tannin sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) for maize in broiler chickens' diets in the semi-arid zone of Nigeria. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 11(5): 333-337.
- Lee KH, Leeson S (2001) Performance of broilers fed limited quantities of feed or nutrients during seven to fourteen days of age. *Poultry Sci.* 80:446-454.
- National Research Council (NRC) (1994) *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*, 9<sup>th</sup> Rev Ed. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Salinas I, Pro A, Salinas Y, Sosa E, Becerril CM, Cuca M, Cervantes M, Gallegos J (2006) Compositional variation amongst sorghum hybrids: Effect of kafirin concentration on metabolizable energy. *J Cereal Sci.* 44: 342-346.
- Sedghi M, Ebadi RM, Golian A, Ahmadi H (2011) Estimation and modeling true metabolizable energy of sorghum grain for poultry. *Poult Sci* 90: 1138-1143.
- Sonia YL, Glen F, Ali K, Karlie AN, Ha HT, Amy FM, Peter HS (2015) Grain Sorghum: A Conundrum for Chicken-Meat Production: Review. *Agriculture* 5: 1224-1251.
- Torres AAK, Pizauro Jr MJ, Soares PC, Silva AGT, Nogueira LCW, Campos BMD, Furlan LR, Macari M (2013) Effects of corn replacement by sorghum in broiler diets on performance and intestinal mucosa integrity. *Poultry Sci.* 92:1564-1571.
- Weurding RE, Enting H, Verstegen MWA (2003) The Relation Between Starch Digestion Rate and Amino Acid Level for Broiler Chickens. *Poult Sci* 82: 279-284.