

Ethical Policies *(Research Opinions in Animal & Veterinary Sciences)*

The **Research Opinion in Animal & Veterinary Sciences** adopts the COPE (<http://publicationethics.org/>) guidelines on publication ethics.

1. Publication and authorship

By submitting a manuscript, the authors affirm that the work is original, has not been published in parts or in full and is not being submitted for publication in any other journal. The materials included in the manuscript do not violate the copy right of anyone. Furthermore, the manuscript must be free of plagiarism which is a serious academic offence. As a publishing policy of the journal, all the manuscripts are checked for plagiarism before reviewing process. The data of the manuscript should be free of any fraud act. Authors should indicate and acknowledge the financial support if they have received from any donor agency. After publishing, the authors are not allowed to publish the same research in any other journal.

2. Author's responsibilities

By submitting the authors affirm that the data is real and authentic. All authors are obliged to participate in the peer review process. All authors must have made an active contribution to the conception and design and/or analysis and interpretation of the data and/or the drafting of the paper. All authors are obliged to provide retractions or corrections of mistakes. Authors should assure that the research they publish conforms to internationally accepted ethics. When experimental animals are used, the authors must clearly indicate that adequate measures were adopted to minimize pain or discomfort. Experiments should be carried out in accordance with the Guidelines laid down by the International Animal Ethics Committee or Institutional ethics committee and in accordance with local laws and regulations.

3. Peer review / responsibility for the reviewers

All the manuscripts are peer reviewed and the identity of the reviewers are kept confidential. All the reviewers who reviewed articles for this journal should have no conflict of interest with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders. The judgment of the reviewer should be objective, and they should be free from any external influence while reviewing an article. Reviewers should point out relevant published work which has not been cited by the authors inside the manuscript.

4. Editorial responsibilities

The editor has full responsibility and authority to accept or reject an article, however, they do not have any conflict of interest with respect to article that has been accepted or rejected. All the accepted articles will be judged on the basis of quality of the work. Any error found may be subjected to correction or retraction. The editor of the journal has responsibility to conceal the identity of the reviewers. Editors will act accordingly if they suspect misconduct before or after publication.

5. Publishing ethics issues

The editor will strive to meet the need of the readers and the authors. The aim is to improve the quality of the journal, to promote the freedom of expression and the integrity of the academic record. The editorial board will preserve the published ethics and will monitor and safeguard them. If the authors want to retract the article, the editorial should be informed of their decision. The editor will look into the matter as the case may be. The editorial board of the journal is determined to maintain the integrity of the academic record. The papers are accepted by the editor not on business or political gain but on intellectual and ethical standards. The editorial board is also bound to publish corrections, clarification, retractions and apologies. The board will also strictly monitor plagiarism and fraudulent data.