

# International Journal of Applied Poultry Research

## Effects of Hen Age and Force Molting Programs on Production Performances during Postmolt Period in Laying Hens

Ali Aygun\* and Ramazan Yetisir

Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Selcuk University, Konya, 42075, Turkey

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of hen age and force molting programs on the production performance during postmolt period. The experiment was conducted using 320 Hy-Line W36 hens (63 and 75 week of age) randomly assigned to experimental groups (five replicates of 8 hens for each treatment). The experimental design of the study was a  $2 \times 4$  factorial arrangement in a completely randomized block design. Eight treatments were compared in with 2 age groups (63 and 75 week of age) and 4 force molting treatments [feed withdrawal (FW), 100% alfalfa (A), 50% alfalfa and 50% oat (AO), and 100% oat (O)]). Hens molted by AO and O returned to egg production faster than hens molted by FW. The hen age had no significant effect on egg production, egg weight, feed consumption, feed efficiency and liability during postmolt period. There were no significant differences in egg production, egg weight, feed consumption, feed efficiency and liability between feed withdrawal and non-feed withdrawal methods during postmolt period. The results of this study indicated that the producer may prefer to induced molting depend on egg prices between 63 and 75 week of age. In addition, non-feed withdrawal methods can be used successfully as an alternative to feed withdrawal methods.

Key words: Laying hens, force molting, Alfalfa, oat, production performances

**Corresponding author:** Ali Aygun, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Animal Science, Selcuk University, Konya, 42075, Turkey

**How to cite this article:** A Aygun and R Yetisir, 2013. Effects of hen age and force molting programs on production performances during postmolt period in laying hens. Int Appl Poult Res, 2(1): 7-11.

### INTRODUCTION

Induced molting is a process frequently used in commercial laying facilities that extend the productive life of hens. Feed withdrawal for a period of 7 to 14 days is the most common method for inducing molt. It has been shown that this period of feed withdrawal may cause a deleterious effect on hens including increased colonization of Salmonella enteritidis and decrease immune function (Keshavarz, 2002). For this reason, researchers have examined alternative molting methods to FW programs. These methods included high zinc concentrations (Sarica et al., 1996; Yilmaz and Sahan, 2003), low sodium concentrations (Berry and Brake, 1985), wheat middling (Biggs et al., 2003), barley (Onbasilar and Erol, 2007), cottonseed meats (Davis et al., 2002), Jojoba meal (Vermaut et al., 1997), Alfalfa (Donalson et al., 2005; Landers et al., 2005a; Aygun and Olgun, 2010; Aygun, 2013), oat (Kocak et al., 1980; Yetisir et al., 1985; Tona et al., 2002; Aygun and Yetisir, 2009), guar meal (Gutierrez et al., 2008), fungus myceliated meal (Willis et al., 2009), and soybean hulls (Dickey et al., 2012) which have been successfully used for induced molting. The feedstuff which used for alternative induced molting has usually insoluble plant fiber and low energy (alfalfa, cottonseed, grape pomace, and wheat middlings).

Alfalfa has very high in crude fiber (20-24%), a moderate protein level (17-20%) and low metabolizable energy (1200-1600 kcal/kg) (NRC, 1994). The oat has got insoluble high fiber (10-11%) and moderate energy (2500 kcal/kg) (NRC, 1994), for this reason, it may be used easily for alternative induced molting.

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of hen age and force molting programs on the production performances during postmolt period.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 320 Hy-Line W36 laying hens (63 and 75 week of age) were obtained from the Research and Application Farm at the Faculty of Agriculture at Selcuk University (Konya, Turkey). Hens were placed four hens per cage (500 cm<sup>2</sup>/hen), and 2 wk were allowed for acclimation. During this time the hens were fed a layer diet (Table 1) and the photoperiod was 16L:8D. After the acclimation, the hens were randomly assigned to experimental groups (five replicates of 8 hens for each treatment). The experimental design of the study was a 2 × 4 factorial arrangement of a completely randomized block design. Eight treatments were compared in a 2 x 4 factorial arrangement with 2 age treatment (63 and 75 week of age) and 4 force molting treatments [feed withdrawal (FW), 100% alfalfa (A), 50% alfalfa and

50% oat (AO), and 100% oat (O)]). The respective diet and water were allowed *ad-libitum*, and hens were placed on an artificial lighting program of 8L:16D during the 10 d molt period (Donalson *et al.*, 2005; Petek *et al.*, 2008; Aygun and Olgun, 2010; Aygun, 2013). At 11 d, all hens were fed a layer diet (Table 1) and the lighting program was changed to 16L:8D.

Egg production performances were measured for 36 week after molting. Egg production and mortality were

**Table 1:** Composition of the diet

Item (%, unless noted)	Amount
Ingredient	
Corn, yellow	62.41
Soybean meal, 47%	18.63
Sunflower meal, 35%	2.50
Vegetable oil	2.80
Limestone	11.50
Dicalcium phosphate	1.30
Salt	0.35
Vitamin premix <sup>1</sup>	0.15
Mineral premix <sup>2</sup>	0.10
Lysine	0.08
DL-Methionine	0.18
Total	100
Calculated value	
СР	15.00
ME (kcal/kg)	2800.0
Ca	4.30
Available P	0.34
Lysine	0.80
Methionine	0.38
Methionine + cystine	0.67
Threonine	0.56
Tryptophan	0.17
Vitamin mannin annuliad non bila	none of dist. Witemain A C

<sup>1</sup>Vitamin premix supplied per kilogram of diet: Vitamin A, 8 000 IU; vitamin D3, 2 200 IU; vitamin E, 13 IU; vitamin K3, 3 mg; vitamin B1, 2 mg; vitamin B2, 5 mg; vitamin B6, 3 mg; vitamin C, 50 mg; calcium D-pantothenate, 7 mg; nicotine acid, 17 mg; D-biotin, 0.3 mg; folic acid, 0.67 mg; vitamin B12, 10 mg; <sup>2</sup>Mineral premix supplied per kilogram of diet: Copper, 5 mg; iron, 60 mg; manganese, 100 mg; zinc, 60 mg; selenium, 0.15 mg; cobalt, 0.50 mg; choline, 125 mg.

 Table 2: Effects of hen age and force molting programs on onset of egg production during postmolt period

		Onset of egg production (day)
A go (woold)	63	11.45
Age (week)	75	11.55
SEM		0.23
P- value		>0.05
	FW	12.50 <sup>a</sup>
Molting Programs	А	12.00 <sup>ab</sup>
	AO	10.80 <sup>b</sup>
	0	10.70 <sup>b</sup>
SEM		0.32
P- value		<0.01
	FW	12.40
63 week	А	12.00
03 week	AO	10.40
	0	11.00
	FW	12.60
75 maala	Α	12.00
75 week	AO	11.20
	0	10.40
SEM		0.46
P- value		>0.05

FW: Feed withdrawal, A: Alfaalfa, AO: 50% Alfalfa + 50% Oat, O: Oat; SEM: Standard error of the mean

recorded daily throughout during postmolt period. Egg weight was weekly measured on all eggs produced on two consecutive days. Egg weight was measured using an electronic digital balance and was recorded to the nearest 0.01 g. Egg mass (g egg/ hen per day) calculated using hen-day egg production and average egg weight. Feed consumption (g/hen per day) was measured every week from 1 to 36 week. Feed efficiency (g of feed/ g of egg) was calculated using egg weight and feed consumption.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All data were analyzed using the general linear model (GLM). The least significant difference (LSD) test was applied to detect statistically significant differences between groups. All analyses were carried out using Minitab Version 14 (Minitab Inc., State College, PA, USA).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Onset of Egg Production**

The effects of hen age and force molting programs on date of reentry into egg production are shown in Table 2. No significant differences were found between 63 week (11.45 d) and 75 week (11.55 day) age in onset of egg production. Hens molted by O (10.70 day) and AO (10.80 day) returned to egg production significantly faster than hens that were molted by FW (12.50 day) treatment. This result consistent with the finding by Landers et al. (2005a) who reported that hens molted by alfalfa pellet reentered production significantly faster than that molted by feed deprivation, but Donalson et al. (2005) stated that there were no significant differences found between alfalfa meal and feed withdrawal treatments when days to first egg. The earlier hens enter the rest period, and the sooner they will reenter to egg production (North and Bell, 1990).

#### Egg Production and Cracked Egg

The effects of hen age and force molting programs on egg production (hen-day, % and hen-housed, %) and cracked egg are depicted in Table 3. The age had no significant effect on egg production (hen-day, % and henhoused, %) and cracked egg (hen-day, %) during postmolt period. There were no significant differences in hen-day (%) egg production among FW (68.85%), A (68.49%), AO (70.86%) and O (67.88) treatments. This results agree with the findings of Biggs et al. (2003), Yilmaz and Sahan (2003), Donalson et al. (2005), Aygun and Yetisir (2009), and Mazzuco et al. (2011) who stated that no significant difference in egg production were found between non-feed withdrawal treatments and feed withdrawal treatment during postmolt period. Also, no significant differences in hen-house percentage egg production among FW (63.80%), A (63.30%), AO (67.20%), and O (63.60%) treatments. There were no significant difference in cracked egg among FW (0.85%), A (0.93%), AO (1.00%) and O (1.09%) treatments. This finding did agree with results reported previously by Aygun and Yetisir (2009), Mazzuco et al. (2011) who stated that no differences were observed in cracked egg between feed withdrawal treatment and noon-feed withdrawal treatment during postmolt period. Cracked eggshells are a major economic loss to the egg industry (Stadelman, 1995).

		Hen-day egg	g Hen-housed	Cracked
		prodeution	egg production	n egg
		(%)	(%)	(%)
Age	63	69.42	65.20	0.97
(week)	75	68.62	63.75	0.97
SEM		1.20	1.56	0.08
P- value		>0.05	>0.05	>0.05
	FW	68.85	63.80	0.85
Molting	А	68.49	63.30	0.93
Programs	AO	70.86	67.20	1.00
	0	67.88	63.60	1.09
SEM		1.69	2.20	0.12
P- value		>0.05	>0.05	>0.05
63 week	FW	70.26	65.20	0.85
	Α	68.67	64.00	0.77
	AO	70.70	69.60	1.06
	0	68.05	62.00	1.19
75 week	FW	67.43	62.40	0.85
	А	68.31	62.60	1.09
	AO	71.01	64.80	0.94
	0	67.71	65.20	0.99
SEM		2.39	3.11	0.17
P- value		>0.05	>0.05	>0.05
EW. E 1	41.1 1	A . A 16 16-	A (), 500/ A16-16-	500/ 0 /

FW: Feed withdrawal, A: Alfaalfa, AO: 50% Alfalfa + 50% Oat, O: Oat; SEM: Standard error of the mean

 Table 4: Effects of hen age and force molting programs on egg weight and egg mass during postmolt period.

weight and egg mass during postmon period.				
		Egg weight	Egg mass	
		(g)	(g egg/ hen per day)	
Age (week)	63	65.47	45.45	
	75	65.52	44.96	
SEM		0.23	0.82	
P- value		>0.05	>0.05	
	FW	65.04	44.78	
Molting	Α	65.77	45.07	
Programs	AO	65.52	46.43	
	0	65.65	44.56	
SEM		0.32	1.16	
P- value		>0.05	>0.05	
	FW	65.18	45.79	
62 maale	Α	65.31	44.88	
63 week	AO	65.52	46.32	
	0	65.87	44.82	
75 week	FW	64.91	43.77	
	Α	66.23	45.25	
	AO	65.51	46.53	
	0	65.43	44.30	
SEM		0.45	1.63	
P- value		>0.05	>0.05	
<b>EVAL</b> $E_{1} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$				

FW: Feed withdrawal, A: Alfaalfa, AO: 50% Alfalfa + 50% Oat, O: Oat; SEM: Standard error of the mean

#### Egg Weight and Egg Mass

The effects of hen age and force molting programs on egg weight and egg mass are illustrated in Table 4. Neither egg weight nor egg mass was affected by hen age during postmolt period. There were no significant difference in egg weight among FW (65.04 g), A (65.77 g), AO (65.52 g), and O (65.65 g) treatments during postmolt period. These findings do agree with Yilmaz and Sahan (2003), Biggs *et al.* (2004), Park *et al.* (2004), Landers *et al.* (2005a), Aygun and Yetisir (2009), Aygun and Olgun (2010), who reported that no significant differences in egg weight were found between non-feed withdrawal treatment and feed withdrawal treatment

during postmolt period. Conversely, Petek (2001) and Landers *et al.* (2005b) reported that egg weight were significantly higher for non-feed withdrawal treatment when compared with feed withdrawal treatment during postmolt period. During the postmolt period, hens in the non-feed withdrawal treatments had similar egg mass as the feed withdrawal treatment. This result is consistent with other investigations on egg mass (Biggs *et al.*, 2004; Wu *et al.*, 2007; Khajali *et al.*, 2008; Aygun and Yetisir, 2009).

**Table 5:** Effects of hen age and force molting programs on feed consumption and feed efficiency during postmolt period

Feed consumption Feed efficiency			
		(g/hen per day)	(g of feed/g of egg)
Age (week)	63	118.4	1.81
	75	118.3	1.79
SEM		1.11	0.02
P- value		>0.05	>0.05
	FW	116.5	1.79
Molting	А	117.8	1.76
Programs	AO	118.8	1.81
U	0	120.3	1.83
SEM		1.57	0.02
P- value		>0.05	>0.05
63 week	FW	115.7	1.78
	А	118.7	1.82
	AO	118.8	1.81
	Ο	120.3	1.83
75 week	FW	117.3	1.81
	Α	116.9	1.71
	AO	118.9	1.82
	0	120.2	1.84
SEM		2.22	0.03
P- value		>0.05	>0.05

FW: Feed withdrawal, A: Alfaalfa, AO: 50% Alfalfa + 50% Oat, O: Oat; SEM: Standard error of the mean

#### Feed Consumption and Feed Efficiency

The effects of hen age and force molting programs on feed consumption and feed efficiency are shown in Table 5. The age had no significantly effect on feed consumption and feed efficiency. Feed consumption did not significantly differ among the FW (116.5 g), A (117.8 g), AO (118.8 g) and O (120.3 g) treatments during postmolt period. Similar results were found by Petek (2001), Biggs et al. (2003), Biggs et al. (2004), Hassanabadi and Kermanshahi (2007), Aygun and Yetisir (2009) in which feed consumption in hens molted with feed withdrawal were not significantly different from hens molted with non-feed withdrawal methods. No significant differences were found among FW (1.79), A (1.76), AO (1.81), and O (1.83) treatments for feed efficiency during postmolt period. Previously reports (Biggs et al., 2003; Biggs et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2007; Aygun and Yetisir, 2009) stated that there were no significant difference between non-feed withdrawal treatments and feed withdrawal treatment for feed efficiency during postmolt period.

#### Livability

Neither age nor molting programs had significant effect on livability during postmolt period (data not shown). There were no significant differences in livability among FW (86.25%), A (83.75%), AO (92.50%) and O (86.25%) treatments during postmolt period. Wu et al.

(2007) reported no significant differences in mortality between feed withdrawal and non-feed withdrawal methods in second cycle of production.

#### Conclusion

The results of this study indicated that hen age and molting programs did not affect the production performances during the postmolt period in this experimental condition. The producer may prefer to induce molting depend on egg prices between 63 and 75 week of age. In addition, as non-feed withdrawal methods can be used successfully as an alternative to feed withdrawal methods.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support received from the Turkish National Scientific Research Projects Coordinator (Selcuk University), project number (10401045).

## REFERENCES

- Aygun A and R Yetisir, 2009. Researches on the responses of different hybrid layers with respect to egg production performances to force molting programs with and without feed withdrawal. J Anim Vet Adv, 8: 2680-2686.
- Aygun A and O Olgun, 2010. The effect of non feed and feed withdrawal molting methods on molt and postmolt performance in laying hens. Trends Anim Vet Sci J, 1: 1-4.
- Aygun A, 2013. Effects of force molting on eggshell colour, egg production and quality traits in laying hens. Rev Med Vet (Toulouse). 164(2): 46-51.
- Berry WD and J Brake, 1985. Comparison of parameters associated with molt induced by fasting zinc and low dietary sodium in caged layers. Poult Sci, 64: 2027-2036.
- Biggs PE, MW Douglas, KW Koelkebeck and CM Parsons, 2003. Evaluation of nonfeed removal methods for molting programs. Poult Sci, 82:749-753.
- Biggs PE, ME Persia, KW Koelkebeck and CM Parsons, 2004. Furter evaluation of nonfeed removal methods for molting programs. Poult Sci, 83: 745-752.
- Davis AJ, MM Lordelo, and N Dale, 2002. The use of cottonseed meal with or without added soap stock in laying hen diets. J Appl Poult Res, 11: 127-133.
- Dickey ER, AK Johnson, KJ Stalder and K Bregendahl, 2012. Effects of a premolt calcium and low-energy molt program on laying hen performance, egg quality, and economics. Poult Sci, 91: 292-303.
- Donalson LM, WK Kim, CL Woodward, P Herrera, LF Kubena, DJ Nisbet and SC Ricke, 2005. Utilizing different ratios of alfalfa and layer ration for molt induction and performance in commercial laying hens. Poult Sci, 84: 362-369.
- Gutierrez O, C Zhang, DJ Caldwell, JB Carey, AL Cartwright and CA Bailey, 2008. Guar meal diets as an alternative approach to inducing molt and improving *Salmonella* Entertitidis resistance in late-phase laying hens. Poult Sci, 87:536-540.

- Hassanabadi A, and H Kermanshahi, 2007. Effect of Force Molting on Postmolt Performance of Laying Hens. I J Poult Sci, 6: 630-633.
- Keshavarz K, 2002. Developments in research, ed. by Smagner B, Cornell Poultry Pointers. 52: 7-11.
- Khajali F, S Karimi and MR Akhri, 2008. Physiological Response and Postmolt Performance of Laying Hens Molted by Non-Feed Removal Methods. Am J Anim Vet Adv, 3:13-17.
- Kocak C, T Gonul, Y Mutaf and M Onder, 1980. Cesitli genotipten tavuklarda yumurta uretim suresinin zorlamali tuy degistirme yoluyla uzatilmasi olanaklari. Ege Univ Zir Fak Derg, 17: 135-149.
- Landers KL, CL Woodward, X Li, LF Kubena, DJ Nisbet and SC Ricke, 2005a. Alfalfa as a single dietary source for molt induction in laying hens. Bioresour Technol, 96: 565-570.
- Landers KL, ZR Howard, CL Woodward, SG Birkhold and SC Ricke, 2005b. Potential of alfalfa as an alternative molt induction diet for laying hens: egg quality and consumer acceptability. Bioresour Technol, 96: 907-911.
- Mazzuco H, VS Avila, A Coldebella, R Mores, Jaenisch FRF and LS Lopes, 2011. Comparison of the effect of different methods of molt: Production and welfare evaluation. Poult Sci, 90: 2913-2920.
- North MO and DD Bell, 1990. Commercial Chicken Production Manual. 4th ed. Chapman and Hall, New York, NY, pp: 433-452.
- NRC, 1994. Nutrient requirement of poultry. 9<sup>th</sup> edition. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, pp: 176.
- Onbasilar EE and H Erol, 2007. Effects of different forced molting methods on postmolt production, corticosterone level, and immune response to sheep red blood cells in laying hens. J Appl Poult Res, 16: 529-536.
- Park SY, SG Birkhold, LF Kubena, DJ Nisbet and SC Ricke, 2004. Effect of high zinc diets using zing propionate on molt induction, organs, and postmolt egg production and quality in laying laying hens. Poult Sci, 83:24-33.
- Petek M, 2001. Değişik zorlamalı tüy dökümü programlarının ticari yumurtacı tavuklarda başlıca verimler üzerine etkisi. J Fac Vet Med, 40: 39-44.
- Petek M, SS Gezen, F Alpay and R Cibik, 2008. Effects of non-feed removal molting methods on egg quality traits in commercial Brown egg laying hens in Turkey. Trop Anim Health Prod, 40: 413-417
- Sarica M, E Ozturk and N Karacay, 1996. Degisik zorlamali tuy dokum programlarinin yumurta verimi ve yumurta kalitesi uzerine etkileri. Turk J Vet Anim Sci, 20: 143-150.
- Stadelman WJ, 1995. Quality identification old shell eggs, in: Stadelman WJ and Cotterill OJ, (editors), Egg Science and Technology. 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Food Products Press, Binghamton, NY, pp.: 39–63.
- Tona K, F Bamelis, B De Ketelaere, V Bruggeman and E Decuypere, 2002. Effect of induced molting on albumen quality, hatchability, and chick body weight from broiler breeders. Poult Sci, 81: 327-332
- Vermaut S, K De Coninck, G Flo, M Cokelaere, M Onagbesan and E Decuypere, 1997. Effect of deoiled

jojoba meal on feed intake in chickens: Satiating or taste effect? J Agric Food Chem, 45: 3158-3163.

- Willis WL, OS Isikhuemhen, JW Allen, A Byers, K King and C Thomas, 2009. Utilizing fungus myceliated grain for molt induction and performance in commercial laying hens. Poult Sci, 88: 2026-2032.
- Wu G, P Gunawardana, MM Bryant, RA Voitle and DA Roland Sr, 2007. Effect of molting method and dietary energy on postmolt performance, egg

components, egg solid, and egg quality in Bovans White and Dekalb White hens during second cycle phases two and three. Poult Sci, 86: 869-876.

- Yetisir R, M Soysal and O Duzgunes, 1985. Cesitli yumurtaci hibritleri ikinci verim yilinda kullanma imkanlari. Teknik Tavukculuk Derg, 47: 23-31.
- Yilmaz B and U Sahan, 2003. Degisik zorlamali tuy dokum yontemlerinin yumurtaci surulerde yumurta verimi ve kalitesine olan etkileri. III. Ulusal Zootekni Bilim Kongresi, Ankara, Turkey, pp: 139-147.